



Through E-mail

MIN/2022-22084

To,

The Director Ministry of Environment & Forest and Climate Change Regional Office, Western Region Kendriya Parayavaran Bhavan Link Road No. 3 E-5 Ravishankar Nagar Bhopal- 462016

Sub: - Six monthly compliance report of environment clearance over 1143.41 ha area of Limestone Mine of M/s Prism Johnson Ltd. in Village Sijahatta-Hinauti, Tehsil Rampur Baghelan, Dist. Satna (M.P.)

Ref: - Your letter No. J-11015/37/96-IA.II (M) dated 31/12/96.

Dear Sir,

This is in reference to the above we are enclosing herewith the six-monthly compliance report (period October 2021 to March 2022) of the environmental clearance granted over 1143.41 ha Mining Lease area of M/s Prism Johnson Limited (Formerly Prism Cement Ltd.) in Village Sijahatta-Hinauti, Tehsil Rampur Baghelan, Dist. Satna (M.P.), along with the necessary enclosures.

We Hope you will find the same in order.

Thanking you.

Yours faithfully,

For Prism Johnson Limited

Mines Manager

Prism Cement Limestone Mines

PRISMJOHNSON LIMITED

(Cement Division - Unit II)



Works: Village Mankahari, P.O.-Bathia, Dist. Satna - 485 111 (M.P.) India T: +91-07672-275301 / 302600 Corres. Add.: 'Rajdeep', Rewa Road, Satna - 485 001 (M.P.) India. T: +91-07672-402726 Registered Office: Prism Johnson Limited, 305, Laxmi Niwas Apartments, Ameerpet. Hyderabad - 500 016, India. w: www.prismjohnson.in, www.cement.prismjohnson.in, E: info@prismjohnson.in

CIN: L26942TG1992PLC014033

The environmental clearance would be applicable to 1143.41 Ha.	Ŷ	Initial grant of 1143.41 ha Environment Clearance comprised of mining lease of 791.004 ha + 253.326 ha. + 42.798 ha + 56.282 ha. (PL). Subsequently PL was not converted into ML.
		Later, 791.004 ha + 42.798 ha were amalgamated and after leaving some restricted area, mining lease was granted for an area of 772.067 ha only.772.067 ha ML was granted EC vide MoEF letter No. J-11011/949/2007-IA-II (I) dated 22.09.2008.
		Now the said EC 1996 pertains to only 253.326 ha ML area out of 1143.41 ha for compliances. The copy of the approval letter is enclosed as Annexure 1

No change in the calendar plan including excavation, quantum o limestone and waste rock /O.B. dumps should be made.		Mining is carried out as per the approved Scheme of Mining vide IBM letter no MP/Satna/Limestone/RMP-10/21-22 Jabalpur Dtd. 28.07.2021. The copy of the approval letter is enclosed as Annexure 2 .
--	--	--

Production Plan for last five years for 253.326 ha.							
SI no.	FY	Production as per SoM	Production as per EC limit	Actual production	limits.		
1.	2016-17	3000000	2175000	2166122	EC		
2.	2017-18	3000000	2175000	2174813	within		
3.	2018-19	3000000	2175000	2173643			
4.	2019-20	3000000	2175000	2174244	ction		
5.	2020-21	2175000	2175000	2174769	Production		
6.	2021-22	2175000	2175000	2173796	<u>Б</u>		

SI FY no.		Waste rock as per SoM	Soil as per SoM	Actual W/R	Actual Soil
		Cu M	Cu M	Cu M	Cu M
1.	2016-17	76575	343506	38102	343373
2.	2017-18	1596848	624564	1854829	83094
3.	2018-19	162891	1904952	829504	16837
4.	2019-20	2819104	140545	103409	95661
5.	2020-21	2749264	57454	1388869	0
6.	2021-22	1748132	0	748145	0

The topsoil and O.B. dumps should be stacked in earmarked dump sites.

Waste rock generated during the course of mining is used for concurrent backfilling of the mined out area. Top soil is spread over the backfilled area for carrying out plantation.

Soil and OB dumps are maintained separately at earmarked locations as per the scheme of mining approved by the Indian Bureau of Mines.

	Soil Dump Located in Area 253.326 ha
	Overburden Dump Located in Area 253.326 ha
	Top soil generated is stored and later spread over backfilled area which is used for plantation.
	All dumps are temporary in nature and placed within excavated area which will be used for backfilling in future. The relevant pages of the approved mining scheme is enclosed as Annexure No. 4
Garland drains should be constructed downstream to the existing nala system to safeguard the mine faces.	Garland drain having dimension of cumulative length of 1.2 Km, a width of 2.0 to 3 meters and depth of 0.75 to 1.2 meter already exists. The drain system is continuously developed to safe guard the mine faces.
	Catch drains around the old OB dumps have been constructed. Picture of the same is displayed.



Garland Drain



The levels of SPM should not exceed 500 μg/m³ at any
station within the leasehold. Emission of SO2, NOx
and CO should be maintained below the levels
prescribed by the competent authority. Control
measures suggested in the EMP in this regard shouldThe SPM, SO2, NOx and RPM are well within the prescribed
limits.Ambient air quality monitoring reports of different locations from

Settling Pond

be strictly implemented. The dust pollution in the limestone mine needs to be further controlled by incorporating additional mitigative measures at the sources itself.	October 21 to December 22 are given in Annexure 5 .
	Pollution control measures are strictly implemented. Water spraying is done on the entire haul roads from water tanker.
	Atomized water spraying arrangement exists at the crusher hopper.
	Water spraying System in Crusher Hopper
	Environment Protection Measures are mentioned in EMP, salient features are as mentioned below:-
	POLLUTION CONTROL MEASURES
	The mining operations are not anticipated to raise the concentration of the pollutants any more. However, following measures have been/would be adopted to mitigate the SPM levels in ambient air:
	i) Measures to prevent Generation and Dispersal of Dust
	Dust particles, which are normally generated during mining operations become air borne, thus leading to increase in SPM level in the ambient air. Another source of dust generation is the transport of the material by trucks. Adequate control measures are therefore taken by PCL during both mining operations as well as transportation/dumping of Limestone/OB which shall be extended to proposed additional mining area also :
	 Dust suppression systems (water spray) are/would be adopted at loading faces. –fully implements and complied.
	• Dust generation is/would be reduced by using sharp tooth for shovels. –fully implements and complied
	 Dust suppression system. (Water spraying) have been/would be adopted on roads which are used for transportation and plying of vehicles — fully implements and complied
	<i>ii) Measures to Control Air Pollution due to Airborne Dust</i>
	In addition to control measures during mining and transport

operations, following steps have been/would be taken to prevent air pollution duo *to* air borne dust:

- More trees have been/would be planted around the dust generation points—fully implemented/complied.
- More trees have been/would be planted on both sides of the roads along slopes etc. ----fully implemented/complied.
 - Afforestation around the mine to filter out the dust and preventing it from reaching the residential areas has been / would be undertaken----fully implemented/complied.

 - Afforestation already mined out areas would be done as per schedule with minimum gap between excavation and afforestation to fix the dust and prevent it getting airborne ----fully implemented/complied..

Minimum, Maximum & Average Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Report

•

٠

٠

S.	Date	SW (BP No. 18)						-	de ML bou of ML ai	undary (Pi	illar No. 14)	Wind
No.	Date	PM _{2.5}	PM ₁₀	SO2	NOx	со	PM _{2.5}	PM ₁₀	SO2	NOx	со	Direction
		µg/M³	µg/M³	µg/M³	µg/M³	µg/M³	µg/M³	µg/M³	µg/M³	µg/M³	µg/M³	
1	09/10/21	29.14	59.34	39.49	44.94	BDL	28.40	59.92	38.19	42.65	BDL	SE
2	24/10/21	31.20	59.31	42.53	45.60	BDL	30.00	62.27	41.66	43.14	BDL	SE
3	08/11/21	30.70	59.80	45.91	46.92	BDL	29.02	57.04	43.74	48.54	BDL	SE
4	22/11/21	33.05	62.66	44.19	47.07	BDL	31.11	60.36	42.53	44.9.	BDL	SE
5	06/12/21	31.64	60.93	43.74	47.07	BDL	30.30	62.95	45.91	50.56	BDL	SE
6	20/12/21	32.11	64.06	45.13	45.07	BDL	31.20	66.39	44.56	47.10	BDL	SE
7	08/01/22	30.51	57.51	40.50	44.84	BDL	29.73	61.29	39.49	43.68	BDL	NE
8	21/01/22	32.98	66.93	44.19	45.30	BDL	29.82	69.94	43.74	44.49	BDL	SE
9	07/02/22	32.49	62.62	42.53	46.74	BDL	31.04	61.88	40.50	44.49	BDL	SE
10	19/02/22	34.18	64.96	46.18	48.54	BDL	33.26	64.67	43.74	43.68	BDL	NE
11	09/03/22	35.88	68.64	40.33	47.65	BDL	31.13	63.26	41.98	42.32	BDL	SW
12	23/03/22	36.88	70.45	47.87	50.16	BDL	35.00	66.56	46.45	47.07	BDL	SE
13	Maximum	36.88	70.45	47.87	50.16		35	69.94	46.45	50.56		
14	Minimum	29.14	57.51	39.49	44.84		28.4	57.04	38.19	42.32		
15	Average	32.56	63.10	43.55	46.66		30.83	63.04	42.71	45.25		
			Near Mankahari Village				Near Hinouti Village					
S. No.	Date	PM _{2.5}	PM ₁₀	SO2	NOx	со	PM _{2.5}	PM ₁₀	SO2	NOx	со	Wind Direction
110.		µg/M³	µg/M³	µg/M³	µg/M³	µg/M³	µg/M³	μg/M³	µg/M³	µg/M³	µg/M³	Direction
1	09/10/21	24.89	46.29	34.43	37.21	BDL	26.08	50.55	37.80	40.45	BDL	SE
2	24/10/21	26.04	55.47	35.10	36.32	BDL	27.05	52.24	36.45	39.55	BDL	SE
3	08/11/21	26.04	52.00	38.48	39.71	BDL	27.07	53.01	42.53	41.80	BDL	SE
4	22/11/21	27.42	54.37	39.49	39.10	BDL	28.14	58.61	40.50	40.45	BDL	SE
5	06/12/21	27.07	55.78	39.77	41.80	BDL	28.87	57.88	41.98	42.65	BDL	SE
6	20/12/21	28.13	59.44	40.50	39.71	BDL	29.28	60.25	41.31	41.60	BDL	SE
7	08/01/22	26.40	54.54	38.48	37.75	BDL	29.26	39.38	38.88	40.45	BDL	NE
8	21/01/22	28.03	57.73	39.49	38.24	BDL	30.30	61.75	40.50	43.07	BDL	SE
9	07/02/22	28.79	56.23	39.72	39.71	BDL	28.84	58.44	41.31	41.35	BDL	SE
10	19/02/22	29.61	56.88	40.50	38.83	BDL	30.06	60.15	42.53	41.80	BDL	NE

11	09/03/22	29.06	61.55	37.80	39.10	BDL	31.59	60.83	39.77	41.80	BDL	SW
12	23/03/22	30.42	57.63	39.49	40.45	BDL	30.92	62.27	40.50	42.07	BDL	SE
13	Maximum	30.42	61.55	40.5	41.8		31.59	62.27	42.53	43.07		
14	Minimum	24.89	46.29	34.43	36.32		26.08	39.38	36.45	39.55		
15	Average	27.66	55.66	38.60	38.99		28.96	56.28	40.34	41.42		

SI No	Tests	Results Mines Site office Hinauti Sijahata	Results Sijahata Village Bore well	Detection Range
1	Colour	<5.0	<5	5-100
2	Odour	Agreeable	Agreeable	Qualitative
3	Taste	Agreeable	Agreeable	Qualitative
4	Turbidity as (NTU)	1.10	1.20	1.0-100
5	рН	7.59	7.21	2.0-13.9
6	Total Dissolved Solid as TDS(mg/l)	478.0	378.0	10-1000
7	Alkalinity (mg/l)	176.0	180.0	10-500
8	Total Hardness as CaCO₃ (mg/l)	208.0	220.0	10-1000
9	Calcium as Ca (mg/l)	60.80	52.80	10-1500
10	Magnesium as Mg (mg/l)	13.60	21.38	5-1500
11	Chloride as Cl(mg/l)	28.0	62.0	10-1000
12	Fluoride as F(mg/l)	0.32	0.36	0.02-10
13	Sulphate as SO₄(mg/l)	46.50	91.50	1.0-200
14	Nitrate Nitrogen as NO ₃ (mg/l)	13.68	14.50	5.0-100
15	Manganese as Mn(mg/l)	BDL	BDL	0.05-5
16	Zinc as Zn (mg/l)	BDL	0.19	0.02-100
17	Lead As Pb (mg/l)	BDL	BDL	0.005-1
18	Cadmium as Cd (mg/l)	BDL	BDL	0.002-2
19	Nickel as Ni (mg/l)	BDL	BDL	0.001-5
20	Arsenic as As(mg/l)	BDL	BDL	0.008-2
21	Total Chromium as Cr (mg/l)	BDL	BDL	0.04-10
22	Mercury as Hg (mg/l)	BDL	BDL	0.001-1
23	Copper as Cu(mg/I)	BDL	BDL	0.04-5
24	Boron as B (mg/l)	0.22	0.20	0.02-2
25	Aluminum as Al (mg/l)	BDL	BDL	1.0-100
26	Free residual Chlorine (mg/l)	BDL	BDL	0.1-5
27	Sulphide as H ₂ s (mg/l)	BDL	BDL	0.04-10
28	lodide as I (mg/l)	BDL	BDL	0.1-10
29	Iron as Fe(mg/I)	0.14	0.14	0.05-100
30	Total Coliforms (MPN/100 ml)	BDL	BDL	1.8
31	E Coli (Nos/100 ml)	BDL	BDL	1.8

The quality of effluents finally discharged should conform to the standards prescribed under GSR

No industrial wastewater is generated as the cement plant is operated on dry process.

422(E) dated 19.5.1993 and 31.12.1993.	For domestic wastewater, there is a sewage treatment plant of the state-of-art technology. It has the capacity to treat domestic wastewater of 600 KLPD.
	Contaminated water generated due to washing of equipment is passed though grease and oil trap tank having separation chambers and pumping arrangement. For separation of oil and grease particles from water, prime mover has been provided. The oil and grease is skimmed and kept in sealed barrels for further disposal to authorized vendors.
	The strained out water left in the tank is stored in tanks, and is re- used for washing of HEMM.
	Detailed Report of treated effluent attached as -Annexure No- 10.







Regular monitoring of air, water and noise should be made in and around the core-zone. Recorded data should be furnished to this Ministry (Regional Office, Bhopal) and the State Pollution Control Board six monthly. Noise levels should not exceed the limit of 85 dB. Ear plugs/ear muffs, may be provided to the workers engaged in the noisy atmosphere. Regular monitoring of ambient air quality, water quality and noise level are done at different locations in and around the core zone. Recorded data is submitted to relevant authorities as per schedule. Monitoring reports of the ambient air quality, water quality and noise level are given in **Annexure 5, 10** and **7 respectively**. The noise level is well within acceptable limits.

			Noise Monitoring R	eport			
S.	Date of	SW	(BP No. 18)	Near Western side ML boundary (Pillar No. 14) of ML area			
S. No	monitorin g	Noise level in dB(A)	Noise Level in dB(A)	Noise level in dB(A)	Noise Level in dB(A)		
		(Day Time)	(Night Time)	(Day Time)	(Night Time)		
1	22/10/21	58.80	53.60	57.45	50.62		
2	20/11/21	59.95	53.07	58.10	51.77		
3	18/12/21	59.32	53.67	58.27	50.50		
4	24/01/22	58.01	52.02	58.30	51.22		
5	21/02/22	60.95	52.90	58.80	51.95		
6	14/03/22	61.85	55.15	59.62	53.97		
	Maximum	61.85	55.15	59.62	53.97		
	Minimum	58.01	52.02	57.45	50.50		
	Average	59.81	53.40	58.42	51.67		
		Mank	ahari Village	Hinouti village			
S. No	Date of monitorin	Noise level in dB(A)	Noise Level in dB(A)	Noise level in dB(A)	Noise Level in dB(A)		
	g	(Day Time)	(Night Time)	(Day Time)	(Night Time)		
7	22/10/21	53.60	46.97	55.12	48.17		
8	20/11/21	56.12	50.25	57.00	50.47		
9	18/12/21	55.67	49.55	56.65	50.12		
10	24/01/22	54.05	48.05	56.30	50.57		
11	21/02/22	56.50	50.90	57.90	51.87		
12	14/03/22	57.52	51.35	59.92	52.80		
	Maximum	57.52	51.35	59.92	52.80		
	Minimum	53.60	46.97	55.12	48.17		
	Average	55.58	49.51	57.15	50.67		

	ugs, dust masks are provic tmosphere.	led to workmen working in
Total PPE's October 21 to	March 22	
Material	Qty.	Amount in Rs.
Dust Mask	360	5,565
Goggle Safety Glass PVC,	175	6,125
Hand Gloves	160	4,437
Helmet Industrial Safety	81	7,294
Jacket fluorescent High Visibility Wear	270	81,000
Plug Ear muff	280	5,040
Safety Shoes	319	284,228
TOTAL	1,645	393,690

The anti-pollution measures with regard to environment quality prescribed in the EMP should be implemented schedule in a time bound programme.

The anti-pollution measures with regard to environment quality prescribed in the EMP have already been implemented.

Water spraying is done on the entire haul roads round the clock by water tanker.



Water spraying arrangement has been made at the crusher hopper.

Permanent sprinkler arrangement along the haul road area



EMP Compliance Report is summarized below:

A] POLLUTION CONTROL MEASURES

- *i) Measures to prevent Generation and Dispersal of Dust*
 - Dust suppression systems (water spray) are/would be adopted at loading faces-fully implemented and complied.
 - Dust generation Is/would be reduced by using sharp tooth for shovels -fully implemented and complied.
 - Dust suppression system (Water spraying) have been/would be adopted on roads which are used for transportation and plying of vehicles -fully implemented and complied.

ii) Measures to Control Air Pollution due to Airborne Dust

In addition to control measures during mining and transport operations, following steps have been/would be taken to prevent air pollution due *to* air borne dust: -fully implemented and complied.

- □ More trees have been/would be planted around the dust generation points -fully implemented/complied.
- □ More trees have been/would be planted on both sides of the roads along slopes etc. -fully implemented/complied.
- Afforestation around the mine to filter out the dust and preventing it from reaching the residential areas has been / would be undertaken-fully implemented/complied.
- Dust masks have been provided to workers, engaged at dust generation points like loading, dumping points etc. fully implemented/complied.
- Afforestation already mined out areas would be done as per schedule with minimum gap between excavation and

afforestation to fix the dust and prevent it getting airborne -fully implemented/complied.

iii) Surface Water Pollution Control Measures

No surface water bodies are likely to get adversely affected by mining operations. No contamination of surface water source is anticipated as there are no toxic or chemical materials either in the mineral or the top soil cover. Rain water which is accumulated shall be guided down to suitable drains after passing through reservoirs used as settling tanks-fully implemented/complied.

iv) Ground Water Pollution Control Measures

□ The ground water table in the mine area is not likely to be affected. No control measures to prevent ground water have, therefore been recommended. -Agreed

v) Noise Pollution Control Measures

The noise level monitoring carried out in area has indicated the present noise levels are generally below 65(average) dB(A) which also includes impact of noise of deployment of various machines for excavation, transport, dumping, other auxiliary operations and plant operation. The following measures have been/would be taken to keep the noise levels well below the limits:

- □ A thick green belt has been/would be provided around the periphery of the mine to screen the noise. -fully implemented/complied.
- Trees are/would be planted on both sides of roads used for transportation vehicles. -fully implemented/complied.
- Proper maintenance of noise generating machinery including the transport vehicles. -fully implemented/complied.
- Provision of silencers to modulate the noise generated by machines. -fully implemented/complied.
- Provision of protective device like ear muffs/plugs. -fully implemented/complied.
- Provision of sound Insulated chambers for the workers deployed on machines producing higher level of noise like bulldozers, drills etc., --fully implemented/complied.
- Confining the noise levels by isolating the source of noise. -fully implemented/complied..
- **Q** Reducing the exposure time of workers to higher noise levels -fully implemented/complied.

vi) Measures To Reduce Ground Vibrations

- Peak particle velocity or Ground Vibrations for safety of nearby structures and residential buildings is well within 12.5mm/sec. -fully implemented/complied.
- □ For safe permissible charges per delay initially guidance was taken from the empirical propagation equation V=313.22(D/Q1/2).1.67 but now it is firmed up by monitoring studies during the development stage for existing mines--fully implemented/complied.
- Use of short delay detonators and non-electric detonators -fully implemented/complied.
- □ To contain fly rocks, stemming column shall not be less than burden of hole. -fully implemented/complied.
- As per the practice, each blast is carefully planned, checked, executed and monitored. Charge sheets and blasting data is recorded. -fully implemented/complied.
- Electric detonators are used. Covering the detonating fuse Blasting is carried out in daylight hours only. -fully implemented/complied.
- Care is taken to ensure that the effective burden is not excessive -fully implemented/complied.
- □ Number of blasts per delay are kept to the minimum. -fully implemented/complied.
- □ To adopt multi row blasting & "V" pattern of firing. -fully implemented/complied.

B] MEASURES TO IMPROVE SOCIO-ECONOMIC CONDITIONS

After Commissioning of Existing Project

- 2.5 km WBM road to connect the villages -fully implemented/complied.
- □ Repair of existing connecting roads in villages -fully implemented/complied.
- □ Repair of drainage system in Hinouti village -fully implemented/complied.
- PCL has constructed 1.6 km long & 10 m wide WBM road connecting plant to State Highway. Construction cost was Rs. 12.0 lacs and annual maintenance cost is Rs. 3.0 lacs per annum. -fully implemented/complied. The road is now fully concreted.

- PCL is contributing an amount of Rs. 13000/- per annum towards sports in the surrounding villages. -fully implemented/complied.
- Provide drinking water to villagers in any social & religious gathering, -fully implemented/complied.

Proposed Welfare Measures

In addition to welfare measures carried out, PCL shall continue the efforts to improve the socio-economic status of the local habitants, PCL shall review the various welfare schemes going on in the area from time to time and take decisions of modification/addition of welfare schemes as per the requirement of local habitants,

Medical facility

- □ A dispensary has been provided in the township area for the employees and same service is extended to local populations. A mobile clinic for rural medical health care has already been provided which visit the nearby villages twice every week. -fully implemented/complied.
- Medical Centre is well equipped with all types of emergency medical equipment's e.g. emergency medicines, oxygen cylinder, electrically and manually operated suction pumps, statures etc. one well equipped ambulance containing arrangements for carrying 3 patients at a time is also provided to deal with emergencies. -fully implemented/complied.
- □ Medical Centre is provided full time medical officer, three nursing assistants, three helpers, & other staff. Special arrangements have been made for regular visits of child specialists and gynecologist from Satna. -fully implemented/complied.
- PCL is planning to provide pathological facility for testing of blood and urine at Medical Centre in coming year. -fully implemented/complied.

Bank & Police Station

PCL-has-provided land & building and requisite facility to -a Nationalize (Bank & Police Station at village Mankahari. -fully implemented/complied.

Employment: most of the workers belong to the local area. In addition to this most of the local people are engaged in indirect employment like casual labour, dhaba, supply of local items, local mechanical works- -fully implemented/complied..

Communication

PCL has also provided land & building to telephone exchange at village Mankahari. With the establishment of Telephone Exchange, other business opportunity for local population is widened. -fully implemented and complied.





A green belt around the dust generation points and the lease area should be provided. The density of the trees should be at least 1600 sapling/ha. Mixed species of sapling should be selected for enhancing the bio diversity programme in the lease hold area as mentioned in EMP and supplementary note should be implemented phase wise as envisaged. Extensive plantation has been taken up covering the areas on either side of the crusher ramp, haul roads, sides of reservoir, dump slopes and in non-mineralized areas etc. as well as some part along the lease boundary. Plantation is going on in the backfilled areas cumulative plantation(Nos.) till FY 2021-22 is **108,268** covering 38.54 Ha



Plantation 253.326 Ha for the last 8 years

SI. No.	Year	Total No. of Plants
1	2014-15	2500
2	2015-16	9000
3	2016-17	10000
4	2017-18	6000
5	2018-19	6000
6	2019-20	9073
7	2020-21	11190
8	2021-22	2800

108,268 since inception

The socio-economic / community development measures including health care need to be augmented. A detailed annual action plan / time bound scheme for the socio- economic development should be submitted to the Ministry within three months.	A note on Socio economic development action plan has already been submitted to MoEF, Delhi / Bhopal vide our letter no. MIN / 0701 / 990628 dated 03.2.2000.–Annexure no. 09 The CSR programme is common for PCL. Expenditure made during 2021-22 (April to March) for socio – economic / community development has been given in Annexure No. 3.
--	--



CSR ACTIVITIES ROADMAP FY 2022-23								
S.N.	Particulars/Activity	Expense (In Lacs)	Till Date					
Α.	Availability of Safe Drinking Water	10.15	31.03.2023					
В.	Disaster Management & Social Welfare	2.0	31.03.2023					
C.	Environment, water Conservation and Promoting renewable energy	147.19	31.03.2023					
D.	Health & Hygiene	47.60	31.03.2023					
E.	Promoting Education	50.35	31.03.2023					

F.	Promotion of Sports	1.40	31.03.2023		
G.	Rural Infrastructure Development	26.73	31.03.2023		
Н.	12.30	31.03.2023			
	Grand Total				

Environmental Management Cell has to be established to carry out functions relating to environmental management action plans. The Head of the Cell should directly report to the Chief Executive.	Environmental Management Cell is functioning effectively, Annexure 11
Adequate fund provision (capital and recurring expenditure) should be provided for implementation of all safeguards including socio-economic programme as above. The funds should not be diverted for any other purpose (an amount of 1062.0 lakhs earmarked for pollution control measures and afforestation). Separate account would be kept for implementation of EMP measures.	Adequate fund provision has been made for implementation of socio-economic programs and environment management plan and accordingly spent. The fund for pollution control measures has not been diverted to any other purposes.

	Year
Heads	2020-21(Rs in Lacs)
Maintenance of APCEs	29.08
Env. Monitoring, STP Operation & Maintenance, Plantation Etc.	21.05
APCE Power Consumption	414.97
Total (Rs in Lacks)	465.11

13	conditions, as may	/ be red	right to stipulate any other quired based on feedback onmental protection	se	greed. The Ministry may protect fit, additional conditions nvironment.			
14	The project would be monitored by the regional office of this Ministry, Bhopal / the Central Pollution Control Board / the State Pollution Control Board. The project authorities should extend full cooperation to the officers of the Regional Office by furnishing the requisite data / information / monitoring report and all provide full access to the				ull coordination is provided a egional Office in furnishing the formation/ monitoring report e works/ records etc.	he requisite data		
15	works / records etc		e status vis-à-vis project					
10		-	specifically giving the		ix monthly compliance repor			
	-	-	nentation of afforestation		O MoEF, Bhopal and resp			
			fare activities, including	re	gularly. The details are as give	ven below:		
			uld be submitted for the					
	-	•	nd Regional Office once in					
	6 months regularly	for regi	ular monitoring purpose.	050.0	00 h -			
		Year	Lease	253.3				
			Dispatch no.		Date			
		2010	MIN / 2010 – 10137		26.07.2010			
			MIN / 2010 – 10246		20.12.2010			
		2011	MIN / 2011 – 11193B		20.07.2011			
			MIN / 2011 – 11413		31.12.2011			
		2012	MIN / 2012 – 12186		20.07.2012			
			MIN / 2013 – 13033		15.01.2013			
		2013	MIN / 2013 – 13260		18.07.2013			
		2010	MIN / 2014 – 14011		10.01.2014			
		2015	MIN / 2014 – 14202		10.07.2014			
		2015	MIN / 2015 – 15017		10.01.2015			
		2016	MIN / 2016 – 16226		29.09.2016			
		2016	MIN / 2017 – 17052		07.02.2017			
		0047	MIN / 2017 – 17192		09.08.2017			
		2017	MIN / 2018 – 18071		09.03.2018			
		0040	MIN / 2018 – 18209		16.08.2018			
		2018	MIN / 2018 – 19019		22.01.2019			
			MIN / 2019 – 19125A		01.06.2019			
		2019	MIN / 2019-19277		05.12.2019			
			MIN / 2020-20112		01.06.2020			
		2020	MIN / 2020-20241		02.12.2020			
		000 <i>i</i>	MIN / 2021-210197		01.06.2021			
		2021	MIN / 2021-210297		01.12.2021			
16.	-		hese conditions and A		01.12.2021 ese conditions as prescribention and Control of Pollution			

16. The implementation of these conditions and safeguards will be enforced inter alia under the water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974 and the Environment (Protection) Act 1986 and the Public Liability Insurance Act 1991.

All these conditions as prescribed in the water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974 and the Environment (Protection) Act 1986 and the Public Liability Insurance Act 1991 are complied. **Annexure 4 to 6.**

253.32.6

भध्य प्रदेश शासन खनिज ताप्झ विभाग 'भंगानव'

इमकि 3-29/95/12/ भोषान, दिनांक

g ffre,

चलेक्तर,

फिला- तलगा (मठाठ)

विषय:- जिला ततना के ग्राम हिनोती, तिजहटा के रकवा 309.608 हेक्टर देस पर लाईन स्टोन खनिज हेतु मेलर्ल फ्रिज्य तीमेट लि. लेटर्भ:- आगका हा-ह. ।। रम/30/रमस्त/9% दिनांड 9.3-95

वेतर्त प्रिज्य लोवेंट लि0 ने जिला ततना के ज़ाम खिनोली - लिजहटा के 309-608 हेक्टर देम पर लाईम स्टोन अनिज के लिये खनिपट्टा आवेदन पत्र इस्तुत किया ।

2. आवेतन पन का परीक्षण करने पर पाया गयाकि मेलर्स प्रिज्य तीमेंट लिगि. प्यारा आवेदित 309.608 हेरटर देवमें 56.282 हेक्टर देव के केना नया देव हे को कि आवेदक को पूर्वेक्षण अनुवादित में स्वीकृत नहीं था जतः खान वर्ध खनिव | चिनियमन रखं विकास] अधिनियम 1957 की थारा 522 हे के अन्तर्गत केता देव जोपूर्वेक्षण में स्वीकृत न हो खनिवट्टे में स्वीकृत नहीं किया जा सकता कता देव जोपूर्वेक्षण में स्वीकृत न हो खनिवट्टे में स्वीकृत नहीं किया जा सकता कता आवेदक को ज़ान हिनोत्ती का 200.746 हेक्टर रखं तिकहटा का 12.580 हेक्टर कुन 253.526 हेक्टर देव खनिवट्टे में स्वीकृत है। उपलब्ध पाया गया 1

3. आवेदित वनिन अनुतूची कि का बनिन होने ते जान क्यं जनिन श्रंचिनियमन क्यं विकास अधिनियम 1957 की पारा 5818 के अनुतार स्वीकृति के पूर्व केन्द्रीय शासन ते उनके वत्र कुवांक 4/97/95/क्म-4 दिनांक 8.8.95 व्यारा उनका अनुमोदन प्राप्त किया गया ।

4- अतः राज्य भारतन झारा आवेदक को नीचे दर्गाई गर्ती पर खनिषट्टा 'त्वीकृत किया जाता है :--

३। ३ अप्लेलक का नाम

मेलर्त फिज्म सीमेंट लिमिटेड

......

11211

121 त्वीकृत देव वा जिवरण - गाम हिनोती 240.746 हेक्टर

ग्राम तिजहटा 12.580 हेक्टर

हुल - 253. 326 देवरर

33 अनिज कर नरम

1. S. 18

लाईम स्टोन

14 | स्वीवृत्ति की अवस्थि 20वर्ष |वीत वर्ष | विना नवकरण कण्डिका के |

[5] अविवक केननी ट्यारा स्थानीय देनीय विकास काई हेः स्वेच्छिक बोगदान दिये जाने के लंबंध में अपने यह दिनांक 22.7.96 से दी गई अडरदेकिंग के अनुसार जिस प्रकार अच्य बद्देया रियों के लिया जाकेगा, आवेदक केंन्नी को देव होगा।

[6] रायल्टी /डेडरेंट अधिनियम भेप्रताधित वर ते ।

[7] पियोडोनाईट तो आति आवायक हो तो किया जाते ।

18 | जूनापत्थर (गार्बन) डोनोवाईट की रियति मे -

वानि रियायत नियमावली 1960 के जन्तर्गत निर्धारित अनुबंध यत्र 'हे' काह तात में गई क्रमांक 21 के बाद मध-मुद्देग शासन, नेतर्गिक ताधन विभाग के कुक, 8814-6384/12 दिनांक 24-11-1962 व्यारा तूथित गई 'ह

21 "र" जोडी जावे ।

११ आबेदक खनन किये गये चुनावत्थत का उबयोग तथा वित ती मेंट तथंत्र में करेगा। 5/- यदि आवेदक को उपरोक्त गौँ मान्य हो तो नियमानुतार जजानत रात्रि जवा कराकर आदेश प्राप्त होने के 6 माह के श्रीतर अनुबंध का निष्यादन किया जाकर अनुबंध की एक प्रति मेजी जावे ।

कृत्या अनुबंध निष्यादन के पूर्व यह तुर्रनारियत कर लेकि आबेदक के उपर किली प्रकार का खनिज राजस्य की राशि बकाया तो नहीं है ।

> मध्यप्रदेश के राज्यपाल के नाम ते लक्ष अवेगा-उलार,

ह स.बे. त्रिबेल ? अबर तविज्ञ अध्यमुदेश शासन, खनिज लाधन विधाग

0 . 3e a

Sel.

1000 3-29/95/12/1 मोपाल, दिवाक 3]9/96 Finfinftr:-

31 तथिब, भारत तरकार, आन मंत्रालय, शास्त्री अवन नई दिल्ली। 32 तैवालक, भौगिको तथा खनिकर्म, रायप्रा।

a of the fit which is the second second states the second second second second second second second second second

service of the service of a service of a service of a service of the service of t

13] डायरेक्टर जनरत आंक माइन्स तेच्टी धनवात निवारने 14] कन्द्रीतर जनरत इण्डियन ब्युरों आक माजन्त नाग्तुर । 15] केनीय बान निर्वत्रक वारतीय जान व्युरों जवलपुर । 16] केती प्रिंज्य तीवेंट लि. राधेन्द्र नगर ततनां की जोर त्यनार्थ को जावायककार्यवाही हेट्र क्रोफिट्न।

I T. A. Take HET ANI

गटवपुदेश आतन, बनिज तारन तंबमाग

श्रीवारतव

11311

कार्यालय कलेक्टर (खनिज–शाखा) जिला, सतना (म.प्र.)

E-mail modgmsat@mp.gov.in

सतना दिनांक 23111906

पत्र क्रमांक 23.3/खनिज/2016

प्रति,

मेसर्स प्रिज्म सीमेंट लिमि0, तहसील रामपुर बघेलान जिला–सतना (म0प्र0)

विषय :-- खनिपट्टा ग्राम हिनौती, सिजहटा तहसील रामपुर बघेलान, जिला सतना के रकबा 253.326 हेक्टेयर क्षेत्र पर खनिज चूनापत्थर खनिपट्टा में समय वृद्धि।

संदर्भ :-- आपका आवेदन पत्र दिनांक 22.01.2016 कार्यालयीन पत्र पृ0 पत्र क्रमांक 1750 / खनिज / 2015 दिनांक 26.10.2015 ।

---00----

विषयांतर्गत आपके पक्ष में जिला सतना अंतर्गत तहसील रामपुर बघेलान के ग्राम हिनौती, . सिजहटा में रकबा 253.326 हे0 पर चूनापत्थर खनिपट्टा अवधि 28.09.1996 से 27.09.2016 तक स्वीकृत है। खान एवं खनिज (विकास तथा विनियमन) अधिनियम 1957 में हुए संशोधन 2015 व राज्य शासन के पत्र दिनांक 12.03.2015 के पालन में मूल स्वीकृति दिनांक से 50 वर्ष अथवा कैप्टिव माइंस हेतु 31.03.2030 समय वृद्धि का प्रावधान किया गया है। उक्त खनिपट्टे का अनुबंध निष्पादन 28.09.1996 को किया गया था जिसका एम.एम.डी.आर. 2015 के अंतर्गत अवधि 27.09.2046 तक प्रस्तावित है एवं अनुबंध निष्पादन की कार्यवाही प्रचलन में है।

उपरोक्त बावत् आपको सूचित किया जाता है कि संशोधित खनिज नियम 2015 व म0प्र0 शासन खनिज साधन विभाग भोपाल के पत्र दिनांक 12.03.2015 व चेकलिस्ट अनुसार माईनिंग प्लान / माईनिंग स्कीम व अन्य समस्त आवश्यक औपचारिकताऐ पूर्ति करावे, जिससे शासन आदेशानुसार आवश्यक कार्यवाही की जा सके।

Aun

र्न खनि अधिकारी^{23/01/16} कृते कलेक्टर जिला-सतना (म0प्र0)

र्रजिस्टर्ड पार्सल द्वारा

भारत सरकार खान मंत्रालय भारतीय खान ब्यूरो क्षेत्रीय खान नियंत्रक का कार्यालय



GOVERNMENT OF INDIA MINISTRY OF MINES INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES O/O THE REGIONAL CONTROLLER OF MINES

जबलपुर, दिनांक : 28/07/2021

प्रति,

M/s Prism Johnson Ltd., Rajdeep, Rewa Road, District – Satna (M.P.) – 485 001 E-mail – regdofficeprismcement@gmail.com

फा0 सं0 - MP/Satna/Limestone/RMP-10/2021-22 5578

- विषयः— म०प्र० राज्य के **सतना** जिले में स्थित आपकी प्रिज्म सीमेंट लाइमस्टोन खान (क्षेत्र 253.326 हे0) के एमसीआर— 2016 के नियम 17 (1) के अंतर्गत जमा किए गए खनन् योजना के पुनर्विलोकन का अनुमोदन।
- संदर्भ :--1) आपका पत्र क्रमांक PJL/MIN/2021/210183, दि0 **28/04/2021**, कार्यालय में प्राप्ति दि0-29/04/2021, भारतकोष द्वारा जमा प्रक्रिया शुल्क की रसीद संख्या 2704210002919, दि0 27/04/2021
 - 2) इस कार्यालय का समसंख्यक संवीक्षा-पत्र दि०- 09/07/2021
 - 3) आपका/क्यू पी0 का पत्र क्रमांक PJL/MIN/2021/210225, दि0 16/07/2021 (प्राप्ति दि 19/07/2021)

महोदय,

In exercise of the powers conferred under Clause (b) of Sub-section (2) of Section 5 of Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Amendment Act, 2015 read with Government of India Order no. S.O.1857(E),dated 18/05/2016, I hereby **Approve** the above said Review of Mining Plan submitted under Rule 17(1) of Minerals (Other than Atomic and Hydrocarbons Energy Minerals) Concession Rules, 2016. This approval is subject to the following conditions:

- 1 The Review of Mining Plan is approved without prejudice to any other law applicable to the mine area from time to time whether made by the Central Government, State Government or any other authority and without prejudice to any order or direction from any court of competent jurisdiction.
- 2 The proposals shown on the plates and /or given in the document is based on the lease map /sketch submitted by the lessee and is applicable from the date of approval.
- 3 It is clarified that the approval of aforesaid Review of Mining Plan does not in any way imply the approval of the Government in terms of any other provision of Mines & Minerals (Development & Regulation) Amendment Act, 2015, or the Minerals (Other than Atomic and Hydro Carbons Energy Minerals) Concerning Pulse, 2016 and any other laws including Forest (Concerning) Act, 1000

- Minerals) Concession Rules, 2016 and any other laws including Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980, Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 or the rules made there under, Mines Act, 1952 and Rule & Regulations made there under.
- 4 Indian Bureau of mines has not undertaken verification of the mining lease boundary on the ground and does not undertake any responsibility regarding correctness of the boundaries of the leasehold shown on the ground with reference to lease map & other plans furnished by the lessee.
- 5 At any stage, if it is observed that the information furnished, data incorporated in the document are incorrect or misrepresent facts, the approval of the document shall be revoked with immediate effect.
- 6 The Financial Assurance furnished by you for Rs. 6,83,84,100/- (Rs. Six Crore Eighty Three Lakh Eighty Four Thousand One Hundred only) is valid up to 31/03/2026 and next Financial Assurance shall be submitted on or before 31/03/2026.
- 7 This approval is restricted in respect of proposals given in the document for the period 2021-22 to 2025-26 with validity up to 31/03/2026 from the date of approval, subject to all other statutory clearances.

- 8 If the approval conflicts with any other law or court order/direction under any statute, it shall be revoked immediately.
- 9 The next Review of Mining Plan will be due for submission on 01/10/2025.
- 10 As per Madhya Pradesh State Government's order dated 10/08/2011 if there is enhancement of production proposed from that in the approved review of mining plan under such circumstances additional stamp duty has to be paid by the lessee for the enhances quantum of production and also a supplementary agreement has to be made by the lessee.

संलग्नः--अनुमोदित पुनर्विलोकन खनन् योजना की एक प्रति के साथ।

भवदीय,

भारतीय खान ब्यूरो, जबलपुर

पता : योजनाकमांक 11, कमलानेहरू नगर, जबलपुर 482002 (म0प्र0)/फोन2416780 / 2416589 / 2416231 फैक्स0761- 2416780 Address : Scheme No 11, Kamla Nehru Nagar, Jabalpur 482002 (M.P) Phone 2416780 / 2416589 241631 Fax : (0761) 2416780 E Mail : ro.jabalpur@ibm.gov.in

			PRISM .	IOHNSON LIMI	TED				
		CSR A		PENSE SUMM		-22			
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
SI. No	Name of the Project	Item from the list of activities in schedule VII to the Act.	Local area (Yes/ No).	Location of t State.	he project. District.	Amount spent on the projects or programs (Rs. In Crore)	Mode of implementation - Direct (Yes/No).	Mode of implementation - Name.	Through implementing CSR registration number.
Availabilit	ty of Safe Drinking Water								
1	Provided 50 trip drinking water Tankers as required by villagers	Schedule VII (i)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.06	Yes		
2	Installed 02 Hand pump with bore well at Chormari	Availability of Safe Drinking Water Schedule VII (i)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.01	Yes		
3	Installed 02 Hand pump with bore well at Bairiha	Availability of Safe Drinking Water Schedule VII (i)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.01	Yes		
4	Installed 01 Hand pump with bore well at Bathiya village	Schedule VII (i)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.01	Yes		
5	Installed of 02 Hand pump with bore well Mahurachh	Schedule VII (i)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.01	Yes		
6	Installed 01 Hand pump with bore well Pithaipur Hinauti	Schedule VII (i)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.01	Yes		
7	Installed 01 Hand pump with bore well Sijahata	Availability of Safe Drinking Water Schedule VII (i)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.01	Yes		
8	Bore well with submersible pump installation at playground Mankahari	Availability of Safe Drinking Water Schedule VII (i)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.01	Yes		
9	Provided Synthetic Water storage Tank - Govt H.S. School Bawadia - Dewas	Availability of Safe Drinking Water Schedule VII (i)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Devas	-			
10	Water Cooler nearby village Bilawali - Dewas	Availability of Safe Drinking Water Schedule VII (i)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Devas	0.01			
Disaster N	Vanagement & Social Welfare					0.14			
11	Provided 09 oxygen concentrator at Community health center Rampur Baghelan- (04) and Sardar Vallabh Bhai Patel District Hospital Satna (05)	Disaster Management Schedule VII (xii)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna and Bhopal	0.11	Yes		
12	Provided oxygen concentrator at Gandhi Medical College Bhopal (05)	Disaster Management Schedule VII (xii)	No	Madhya Pradesh	Bhopal	0.03			
13	Oxygen Concentrator to Central Medicine Store of Andhra Pradesh Medical Services Kurnool Andra Pradesh (04)	Disaster Management Schedule VII (xii)	Yes	Andra Pradesh	Kurnool	0.03	Yes		
14	Provided 1000 Covid care medicines kits at Government Community Health Center Rampur Baghelan	Disaster Management Schedule VII (xii)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.02	Yes		
15	Provided Financial assistance to SP office Kurnool AP through Cheque	Disaster Management Schedule VII (xii)	No	Andhra Pradesh	Kurnool	0.03	Yes		

				Location of t	he project.	Amount spent on		Mode of implementation -	Through implementing
SI. No	Name of the Project	Item from the list of activities in schedule VII to the Act.	Local area (Yes/ No).	State.	District.	the projects or programs (Rs. In	Mode of implementation - Direct (Yes/No).	Name.	CSR registration number.
16	Support to Dr. Lalta Prasad Khare Charitable Trust for operating social welfare and Old Age Home	Social Welfare Schedule VII (iii)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	Crore) 0.07	No	Dr. Lalta Prasad Khare Public Charitable Trust Chandrashaya Sakariya Road Nimi Satna Mob: 9425172747	CSR00000455
17	Distributed 201 sets thermal innerwear to Senior Citizens at Satna	Social Welfare Schedule VII (iii)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.01	Yes		
18	Provided Sponsorship to 31 orphans of Corona Pandemic in association with Collector Satna and District Program Officer WCD Satna	Setting up homes and hostels for orphans Schedule VII (iii).	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.09	Yes	Collector Satna and District Program Officer WCD Satna	
19	Financial assistance to Amalgamated fund, managed by District Welfare Society, Satna for welfare of Soldiers, Martyrs, etc.		Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.01	IYes	District Soldier Welfare Society Satna	
20	Supporting measures for animal Welfare - Fodder for Gaushala Mahurachh Kadaila	Animal Welfare Schedule VII (iV)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.02	Yes		
21	Distribution of Blankets to orphans Dewas.	Measures for socially & Economically backward group Schedule VII (iii)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Devas	-	Yes		
22	Measures for development of societies, war widows, social weaker section of society, Freedom fighters and their family on the occasion of Republic Day & Independence Day - Karaikal	Measures for socially & Economically backward group Schedule VII (iii)	Yes	Puduchery	Karaikal	-	Yes		
23	Donation to Orphanage home nearby village - Karaikal	Measures for socially & Economically backward group Schedule VII (iii)	Yes	Puduchery	Karaikal	-	Yes		
						0.42			
Environm	ent, water Conservation and Promoting renewable er	iergy							
24	Road side plantation with construction of 201 honey comb structures at Mankahari, Mahurachh Turning and Kotar	I Plantation for Environment	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.07	Yes		
25	Construction of 03 protection gate at forest land Khamhariya plantation site	Plantation for Environment	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.02	Yes		
26	Survival & Maintenance of 53000 saplings at Forest Land Khamhariya (53000 plants)	Conservation Schedule VII (iv)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.13	Yes		
27	Development of social forestry by distribution of 83000 hybrid fruit saplings to villagers and gram panchayats	Plantation for Environment Conservation Schedule VII (iv)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.11	Yes		
28	Development and plantation at Satari village	Plantation for Environment Conservation Schedule VII (iv)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.01	Yes		

				Location of	the project.	Amount spent on	Mada af	Mode of implementation -	Through implementing
SI. No	Name of the Project	Item from the list of activities in schedule VII to the Act.	Local area (Yes/ No).	State.	District.	the projects or programs (Rs. In Crore)	Mode of implementation - Direct (Yes/No).	Name.	CSR registration number.
	Plantation and survival of saplings in forest land Khamhariya	Plantation for Environment Conservation Schedule VII (iv)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.02	Yes		
30	Pond deepening at Chormari (6000 M3)	Conservation of Natural Resources Schedule VII (iv)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.08	Yes		
31	Pond deepening at Badhaura (4850 M3)	Conservation of Natural Resources Schedule VII (iv)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.07	Yes		
32	Pond deepening at Ghunghunchihai (2500 M3) with Hume pipe at Malgaon pond	Conservation of Natural Resources Schedule VII (iv)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.04	Yes		
33	Pond deepening at Baghai (2500 M3)	Conservation of Natural Resources Schedule VII (iv)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.03	Yes		
34	De-silting of pond at Malgaon and construction of single bore shaft at Malgaon	Conservation of Natural Resources Schedule VII (iv)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.01	Yes		
35	Construction of water ways channel at Pachauha Pond Malgon (150 meter)	Conservation of Natural Resources Schedule VII (iv)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.01	Yes		
36	Desilting project at KKL - Karaikal	Conservation of Natural Resources Schedule VII (iv)	Yes	Puduchery	Karaikal	0.02	Yes		
37	Construction of single bore recharge system in ponds at Chormari-1, Ghunchihai-1, Badhuara-1	Water Conservation Schedule VII (iv)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.04	Yes		
38	Construction of double bore recharge system in ponds Chormari-1, Ghunchihai-1, Badhaura-1	Water Conservation Schedule VII (iv)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.07	Yes		
39	Construction of Single Bore shaft structures at Sharman Dongari Jamuniya	Water Conservation Schedule VII (iv)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.02	Yes		
40	Construction of 200 drum based Water Harvesting Structure at Bathiya and Bamhauri	Water Conservation Schedule VII (iv)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.09	Yes		
41	Installation of 10 solar street lights at Narsinghpur	Promoting renewable energy for environment Sustainability Schedule VII (iv)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.01	Yes		
42	Installation of 10 solar street lights at Bairiha	Promoting renewable energy for environment Sustainability Schedule VII (iv)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.01	Yes		
43	Installation of 06 solar street lights at Mahurachh Mod	Promoting renewable energy for environment Sustainability Schedule VII (iv)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.01	Yes		
Health & I	Hygiene					0.87			
44	Provided free medical services to 14752 OPD patients from nearby villages	Health & Hygiene Schedule VII (i)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.06	Yes		
45	Provided free ambulance services to 1057 villagers on 24X7 basis	Health & Hygiene Schedule VII (i)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.06	Yes		

			1	Location of t	he project	Amount spent on		Mode of implementation -	Through implementing
		Item from the list of activities in				the projects or	Mode of		
SI. No	Name of the Project	schedule VII to the Act.	Local area	State.	District.	programs (Rs. In	implementation -	Name.	CSR registration number.
			(Yes/ No).			Crore)	Direct (Yes/No).		
	Construction of 10 ODF Toilets at Malgaon Chulhi		Yes	Madhya	Satna	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	M		
46		Health & Hygiene Schedule VII (i)		Pradesh		0.02	res		
47	Construction of 10 ODF Toilets at Bairiha	Hygiene & Sanitation Schedule VII	Yes	Madhya	Satna	0.02	Vac		
47		(i)		Pradesh		0.02	res		
48	Construction of 20 ODF Toilets at Bamhauri	Hygiene & Sanitation Schedule VII	Yes	Madhya	Satna	0.06	Voc		
40		(i)		Pradesh		0.08	res		
49	Construction of 15 ODF Toilets at Dafai Basti Hinauta	Hygiene & Sanitation Schedule VII	Yes	Madhya	Satna	0.04	Voc		
45		(i)		Pradesh		0.04	163		
50	Maintenance of Sulabh Complex at Mahurachh	Hygiene & Sanitation Schedule VII	Yes	Madhya	Satna	_	Yes		
	Turning	(i)		Pradesh			103		
51	Providing of nutritional food to 113 malnutrition	Hygiene & Sanitation Schedule VII	Yes	Madhya	Satna	0.01	Yes		
	children in Rampur Baghelan Block	(i)		Pradesh					
52	Renovation of Community Health Center at Rampur	Health & Hygiene Schedule VII (i)	Yes	Madhya	Satna	0.36	Yes		
	Baghelan			Pradesh					
53	Sponsor cataract surgery for 20 patients from nearby	Health & Hygiene Schedule VII (i)	Yes	Madhya	Satna	0.02	Yes		
	villages			Pradesh					
54	Financial assistance to Mr Ambar Tiwari Cancer	Health & Hygiene Schedule VII (i)	Yes	Madhya	Satna	0.01	Yes		
	patient for treatment			Pradesh	outilu	0.01			
	Financial Assistance to Government Sponsored ADIP	Health & Hygiene Schedule VII (i)							
	Scheme for providing 73 (41+32) motorised tricycle to							Artificial Limbs Manufacturing	
55	Handicapped in Madhya Pradesh in association with		No.	Madhya	Satna	0.16	No	Corporation of India (A Govt.	
	Artificial Limbs Manufacturing Corporation of India (A			Pradesh				Of India Undertaking)	
	Govt. Of India Undertaking)								
56	Accessibility Equipment's for Physically Challenged	Health & Hygiene Schedule VII (i)	Yes	Maharastra	Raigarh	0.02	Yes		
	People in Gadab Village - Pen								
	Constructing Toilets for Girls and Boys students at	Hygiene & Sanitation Schedule VII	Yes	Karnataka	Tumakuru				
57	GKBMS Govt. Schools, Kunigal established in 1930 -	(i)				0.07	Yes		
	Kunigal								
	Donating free food to Primary Health Centre, nearby	Eradicating Hunger & Malnutrition	Yes	Puduchery	Karaikal				
58	village in view of Pulse Polio camp - Karaikal	Schedule VII (I)				-	Yes		
						0.91			
Promotin	g Education								
59	Renovation of Government Girls Middle School	Promoting Education Schedule VII	Yes	Madhya	Satna	0.05	Voc		
29	Sijahata	(ii)		Pradesh		0.05	162		
60	Repairing/extension of Government Higher	Promoting Education Schedule VII	Yes	Madhya	Satna	0.12	Voc		
	Secondary School, Sijahata	(ii)		Pradesh		0.12	103		
61	Renovation of Government Middle School Malgaon	Promoting Education Schedule VII	Yes	Madhya	Satna	0.04	Yes		
		(ii)		Pradesh		0.04	103		
62	Renovation of Government Primary School Chormari	Promoting Education Schedule VII	Yes	Madhya	Satna	0.05	Yes		
		(ii)		Pradesh		0.05			

				Location of	the project.	Amount spent on	Mode of	Mode of implementation -	Through implementing
SI. No	Name of the Project	Item from the list of activities in schedule VII to the Act.	Local area (Yes/ No).	State.	District.	the projects or programs (Rs. In Crore)	implementation - Direct (Yes/No).	Name.	CSR registration number.
62	Renovation of Government Primary School Adiwasi basti Chulhi	Promoting Education Schedule VII (ii)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	-	Yes		
64	Renovation of Govt Higher Sec School Bamhauri	Promoting Education Schedule VII (ii)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.07	Yes		
65	Construction of 132 meters boundary wall at Government Primary Vaikalpik Shala Sijahata	Health & Hygiene Schedule VII (i)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.07	Yes		
66	wall painting for promoting education by wayd of 200 Slogan writing to create awareness and motivation amongst the local villagers	Promoting Education Schedule VII (ii)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.01	Yes		
67	Installation of 04 smart classes from class 9th to 12th at Government Higher Secondary School Sijahata	Promoting Education Schedule VII (ii)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.05	Yes		
68	Fencing work at Government Girls Degree College Satna	Promoting Education Schedule VII (ii)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.01	Yes		
69	Provided 35 computer and 03 printer at Government Girls Degree College Satna (10), Thakur Govind Narayan Singh Degree College Rampur Baghelan (10) and Government Higher Secondary School, Bamhauri, Satna (M.P.)	Promoting Education Schedule VII (ii)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.14	Yes		
70	Provided 20 Almirah cum book self at Government Girls Degree College Satna (10) and Thakur Govind Narayan Singh Degree College Rampur Baghelan (10)	Promoting Education Schedule VII (ii)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.02	Yes		
71	Books distribution to deaf and dump children, Government School - Dewas	Promoting Education Schedule VII (ii)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Dewas	-	Yes		
	Building two Class Rooms at G.S.S School Mandhala, Barotiwala, Baddi	Promoting Education Schedule VII (ii)	Yes	Himachal Pradesh	Solan	0.10	No	Round Table India Trust New No. 80, Round Table House, BOB Chandran Centre Nungambakkam, Chennai TN01, TN-600034	CSR00000895
						0.73	Sub Total		
Promotio	n of Sports								
	Extension of Playground pavilion at Mankahari	Promotion of Sports Schedule VII (vii)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.11	Yes		
74	Construction of 18.5 Meter Playground mini gallery development at Mankahari	Promotion of Sports Schedule VII (vii)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.05	Yes		
75	Construction of main gate at playground Mankahari	Promotion of Sports Schedule VII (vii)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.04	Yes		

		1	1	Location of t	the project	Amount spent on		Mode of implementation -	
		Item from the list of activities in		Location of t	the project.	the projects or	Mode of	Mode of Implementation -	I nrough implementing
SI. No	Name of the Project	schedule VII to the Act.	Local area (Yes/ No).	State.	District.	programs (Rs. In Crore)	implementation - Direct (Yes/No).	Name.	CSR registration number.
76	Construction of covered Pavilion at playground Mankahari	Promotion of Sports Schedule VII (vii)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.09	Yes		
77	Painting and boundarywall at Mankahari playground	Promotion of Sports Schedule VII (vii)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.02	Yes		
						0.31			
Rural Infra	astructure Development								
78	Construction of 2.5 kilometre WBM road at Tapa	Rural Infrastructure Development Schedule VII (X)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.10	Yes		
79	Construction of bus shelter at Sajjanpur Ramvan	Rural Infrastructure Development Schedule VII (X)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.03	Yes		
80	Construction of bus shelter at Baghai	Rural Infrastructure Development Schedule VII (X)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.02	Yes		
81	Renovation of cremation 06 sheds at Hinauti, Malgaon, Bamhauri, Bathiya, Mahurachh & Sijahata	Rural Infrastructure Development Schedule VII (X)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.02	Yes		
82	Renovation of existing infrastructure - Cleaning and Maintenance of Solar lights at Baghai	Rural Infrastructure Development Schedule VII (X)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.02	Yes		
83	Construction of 118 meter drainage Bamhauri	Rural Infrastructure Development Schedule VII (X)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.03	Yes		
84	Renovation of community center at Kotapdu Tadipatri	Schedule VII (X)	Yes	Andhra Pradesh	Tadipatri	0.09	Yes		
85	Construction of community health center shed at Pen village	Schedule VII (X)	Yes	Maharastra	Raigarh	0.04	Yes		
86	Provided Tractor Trolly to Narayanpur Gram Panchayat for Waste Disposal	Rural Infrastructure Development Schedule VII (X)	Yes	Andhra Pradesh	Viajyawad a	0.08	Yes		
						0.43			
Vocationa	l Skill Development								
87	Driving training to 150 persons with permanent driving license making to villagers/youth	Vocational Skill Development Schedule VII (ii)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.04	Yes		
88	Permanent driving license making	Vocational Skill Development Schedule VII (ii)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.01	Yes		
89	Bag making training to 50 women from Baghai and Mankahari villages	Vocational Skill Development Schedule VII (ii)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.04	No	Jai Gurudev Group of Social Welfare Organisation, House No. 5, Ward No. 3, Nagod, Distt- Satna (M.P.)- 485664 Phone: 07673232925 Email: jaigurudev.org@gmail.com	CSR00015295
90	Stitching and embroidery training to 50 women from Baghai and Mankahari villages	Vocational Skill Development Schedule VII (ii)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.04	No	Jai Gurudev Group of Social Welfare Organisation, House No. 5, Ward No. 3, Nagod, Distt- Satna (M.P.)- 485446 Phone: 07673232925 Email: jaigurudev.org@gmail.com	CSR00015295

				Location of t	he project.	Amount spent on	Mode of	Mode of implementation -	Through implementing
SI. No	I Name of the Project	Item from the list of activities in schedule VII to the Act.	Local area (Yes/ No).	State.	District.	the projects or programs (Rs. In Crore)	implementation - Direct (Yes/No).	Name.	CSR registration number.
91		Vocational Skill Development Schedule VII (ii)	Yes	Madhya Pradesh	Satna	0.02		Jai Gurudev Group of Social Welfare Organisation, House No. 5, Ward No. 3, Nagod, Distt- Satna (M.P.)- 485446 Phone: 07673232925 Email: jaigurudev.org@gmail.com	CSR00015295
92		livelihood enhancement projects Schedule VII (ii)	No	Maharastra	Raigarh	0.01	Yes		
						0.16			
	Grand Total					3.97			

exploration activities have been completed for the second band due to which the reserves have increased to 48.719 million tones. As on 31.03.21.

Annual requirement of Limestone is about 9.0 million tonnes. It is proposed to mine about 2.175 million tonnes of Limestone every year from this ML area. Remaining quantity will be met from other leases of the Company. Based on the proposed production capacity, the life of the mine is about 22 years.

Conceptual Exploration:

The first phase of exploration was carried out by Prism Cement took up prospecting operations through G E M division of ACC in the year 1993 - 94. The total nos of 59 boreholes completed in 985.5 m drilled of the exploration for established of first band of Limestone in the mining lease area. The second phase and third phase of exploration was carried out by Prism Johnson limited who drilled 71 boreholes 3813 meters in grid interval (200X200) meters and a second band of limestone was fully established and no conceptual exploration to be proposed in the mining lease area:

Period	g Conceptua	During	Period	ng Proposal	Duris	9	As on Date	
Area Covered (Ha.)	Quantum No. / Size	Туре	Area Covered (Ha.)	Quantum No. / Size	Туре	Area Covered (Ha.)	Quantum No. / Size	Туре
-	1.00	Pits			Pits	++	-	Pits
20	1924	Trench		e	Trench		-	Trenc h
12 (200X200)	3 No 180m	Core BH	25.7 (200X200)	19 No 1200m	Core BH	253.236 (200X200)	130 Nos 4798 5 m	вн
1.1				373			-	Other

All exploration activity completed as per MEMC rules 2015 in the previous plan period there is no proposal to extended activities into the proposal & conceptual period and boreholes are completed. They are shown in the Surface Geological Plan. The exploratory boreholes are drilled to a depth for the continuation of the mineral according to the rules.

Surface Geological Plan and Sections have been given in the Plate No. - IV and Plate No. - V respectively showing the locations of the boreholes drilled and ultimate pit limit.



Conceptual development:

Following Pits will be available at the end of Conceptual Period:

Table No. 2.15

S.	Pit Name/	Broken	Pit Bottom	Surface RL	Pit Bottom RL		Maximum No. of Benches on any side of Pit			
No.	No.	Area (Ha)	Area (Ha)	(Range)	(Lowest)	Туре	Bench No.	Avg. Height	Slope	
						Soll	1	2		
				288-		Limestone	2 6			
1	Pit-1	138.66	130.42	295	249	Waste Rock	3	в	45"	
						Limestone	2	6		
_	Total	138.66	130.42							

Ore to be generated during conceptual period

Waste Rock to be generated during conceptual period OBS to be generated during conceptual period

Top soil to be generated during conceptual period

= 31,403,337 Tonnes = 32,718,618 (Cum) = 813,000 M3 (Cum) = 94,923 M3 (Cum)

Plan period 2026-2031:



The opening balance reserve for this period (2026-2031) is proposed to be at 32.71 million tons after generating 10.875 million tons for the plan period of 2021 to 26. The ore proposed to be exploited in the period 2026-31 is 10.875 Million tons. The working is proposed to be between pit located between N -285 to -1644 and E 488 to 1264, occupying an area of 48.25 ha. The working will be limited to two benches in first band of Limestone and two benches in Second band of Limestone.

Table No. - 216.1

	Pit	Broken	Pit Bottom	Surface	Pit Bottom	Maximum on an	No. of E y side of		Overall Slope
S. No.	Name / No.	Area (Ha)	Area (Ha)	RL (Range)	RL (Lowest)	Туре	No. Hei	Avg. Height	
			1			Soil	01	4-6	
	PCL Mine					Limestone 02	06	177256	
1	253.326 Hect.	43.75	43.0	290-287	248	Waste Rock	03	6-8	45°
						Limestone	02	06	

Ore to be generated during conceptual period





OB to be generated during conceptual period

= 11008847 M¹ (Cum)

Plan period 2031-2036 of plan period:

The opening balance reserve for this period (2031-2036) is proposed to be at 21.835 million tons after generating 10.875 million tons for the plan period of 2026 to 2031. The ore proposed to be exploited in the period 2031-36 is 10.875 Million tons. The working is proposed to be between pit located between N 238 to -1390 and E 1264 to E1912, occupying an area of 52.32 ha. The working will be limited to two benches in first band of Limestone and two benches in Second band of Limestone,

Table No. - 2.16.1

10.1	Pit	Broken	Pit Bottom	Surface	Pit Bottom	Maximum on an	No. of E		Overall Slope
S. NO.	Name / No.	Area (Ha)	Area (Ha)	RL (Range)	RL (Lowest)	Туре	Bench No.	Avg. Height	
	PGL Mine 253.326 Hect					Soll	01	4-6	
						Limestone	02	00	
1		52.32	41.85	290-287		Waste Rock	03	6-8	450
						Limestone	02	06	

Ore to be generated during conceptual period OB to be generated during conceptual period

= 10.467.779 Tones

= 11008847 M² (Cum)

Plan period 2036 to 2041 of plan period:

The opening balance reserve for this period (2036-2041) is proposed to be at 10.96 million tons after generating 10.875 million tons for the plan period of 2031 to 2036. The orc proposed to be exploited in the period 2036-41 is 10.875 Million tons. The working is proposed to be between pit located between N -570 to N-1966 and E1261 to E2992, occupying an area of 61.75 ha. The working will be limited to two banches in first band of Limestone and two benches in Second band of Limestone.

	Table No. – 2.16.1	WTARAN ROVEL
- Sund	Chapter 2: Mining	Page 62

	Pit Name /	Broken	Pit Bottom	Surface	Pit Bottom	Maximum on any	No. of B side of		Overall Slope
S. No.	No.	Area (Ha)	Area (Ha)	RL (Range)	91	Туре	Bench No.	Avg. Height	
				Sel	01	4-8			
	PCL Mine				Limestone	152	06		
1	253.326 Hect.	61.75	41:40	290-287	248	Waste Rock	03	8-8	451
						Limestone	62	06	

Ore to be generated during conceptual period OB to be generated during conceptual period

= 10,467,779 Tones

= 11008847 M³ (Cum)

Conceptual OB Dump Management:

The inter burden of shally limestone ranges from 16 to 26 mts thin soil cover of 1 to 6 mts covers the area left out of current mining activities. It is proposed to utilize the Waste rock in backfilling the mined out area. Plantation will be carried out over it after spreading 0.5 mtr. thick soil cover. No external dumping will be done during rest of life of the mine. Entire quantity of soll and waste rock to be generated will be utilized in backfilling purpose in mined out area.



Present Position

(A)

Following Soll dumps will be available at at present. a) TABLE NO. 2.16 HITTER/APPROVEC Dump Type Quantity Q Area

No.	Active/ Inactive	(M?)	(Tonnes)	Area (M ²)	Area (Ha.)	Height (M)	stabilized	LUCITION
S1	Inactive	97281	155649	28366	2.83	3	Terracing & Gentle slope	1241E to 1528E and -86N to -351N
S2	Inactive	144105	230568	13410	1.4	13	Temporary in pit Soil Storage	1315E to 1447E & -271N to -411N
т	otal	241386	386217	41776	4.17			

(Jang Chapter 2: Mining

She

b) Following Waste dumps are available in the area at present:

Dump No.	Typa Active/ Inactive	Quantity (M ⁹)	Quantity (Tonnes)	Base Area (M²)	Base Area (Ha.)	Avg. Height (M)	Area stabilized	Location
D1	Active	4091680	10229201	97800	9.78	25	Temporary in pit Dumping	621E to 850E and 1205N to -1517N
Total		4091680	10229201	97800	9.78	25		

(B) Proposal Period Position

a) Following Soll dumps will be available at the end of Proposal Period:

Table No. 2.17

Dump No.	Type Active/ Inactive	Quantity (M ^o)	Quantity (Tonnes)		Dase Area (Ha.)	Avg. Height (M)	Arca stabilized	Location
S1	Inactive	28331	45329	28366	2.83	1	Terracing & Gentie slope	1241E to 1528E and -86N to -351N
S2	Inactive	144105	230568	13410	1.34	13	Temporary in pit Soil Storage	1315E to 1447E & -271N to -411N
To	tal	1,72,436	275897	41776	4.17			

b) Following Waste dumps will be available at the end of Proposal Period:

No any wosts dumps are available at the end of proposal period. Waste dump will be used for backfilling.

(C) Conceptual Period Position

a) Following dumps will be available at the end of Conceptual Period. No dumps (soil & Waste Rock) will be available at the conceptual period. Entire soil and waste rock will be used for backfilling.



131

4.5 Conceptual Reclamation & Rehabilitation:

The mining lease is about 230Ha. Area will be disturbed by overall mining activity out of which 114.46 Ha mined out area will be reclaimed and rehabilitated by way of backfilling and plantation at the end of life of the mines and rest of the area i.e. 115.54 Ha. will be developed as water reservoir for recharging the water table of the area.



Table No. 2.19

			Reha	bilitation (Ha)	Second State and	Protective
Status	Mined Out Area (Ha)	Reclamation by Backfilling (Ha)	By Plantation on Backfilled area	By Water Reservoir	Total	Rehabilitation of Dump by Comp. & Afforestation	measures for dumdum (GD/RW/ST)
At Present*	9.72	4.315	0	0	0	-	
At the end of Scheme Period	50,11	27.058	13 79	0	13.99	(44)	
At the end of Conceptual Period	230.0	114.46	114.46	115.54	230	-	-

Period * Exploration in the lease for the second band of limestone is completed. In the present case, we are working in the second band of limestone so that the backfilling operation and mined out are temporary due to the exploitation of the second band of limestone.

The ultimate area (size) of the pit will be around 230 Ha. Whereas, ultimate depth of the pit will be about 60 m and ultimate pit slope will be 45°. The main minable block of the lease is block 1 covering 242.720 Ha area. The conceptual pit position will cover 230 ha of this pit and the LxWxD of this pit at the conceptual stage will be 2400x1300x00 M

Pit position as on date, proposed pit position at the end of scheme period and ultimate pit size at the end of life of the mine will be as shown in Conceptual Plan in Plate No. – XIII and in section along with proposed Conceptual Plan is given in Plate No. – XIV.

B. UNDERGROUND MINING:

NOT APPLICABLE



he

ecoMen

Second Floor Hall, House No. B-1/8, Sector-H, Aliganj, Lucknow - 226 024

Phone No. : 0522 - 4079201/2746282

E-mail: contactus@ecomen.in, Website: www.ecomen.in, CIN - U74210UP1989PTC010601,GSTIN : 09AAACE6076H1ZI

An approved Laboratory from Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Govt. of India, New Delhi

FORMAT NO. ECO/QS/FORMAT/12

TEST REPORT NO: ECO LAB/AAQ1/818/12/21 TEST REPORT ISSUE DATE: 05/01/2022

TEST REPORT OF AMBIENT AIR*

Nome of the Compony		M/s Prism Johnson Ltd.
Name of the Company	•	
Address of the Company	•	Village Mankahari
		Tehsil Rampur Baghelan
		District Satna (M.P.)
Sample Collected by	:	Mr. Anish Singh & Manoj Gupta
Sampling Method	:	IS: 5182
Date of Monitoring	:	28.12.2021
Date of Testing	:	01.01.2022 to 05.01.2022
Environmental Condition	:	Temp (⁰ C) 24, Humidity (%) 70,
		Weather Condition Partially Cloudy
Instrument Name & Lab ID	•	ECO/HO/FDS/02 & ECO/HO/RDS/02

SI. No.	Tests Conducted			Limit as per National			
		Method	L1 28.12.2021	L2 28.12.2021	L3 28.12.2021	L4 28.12.2021	Ambient Air Quality Standards
1	Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5}) (µg/m ³)	IS 5182 : Part 24 : 2019	23.41	25.50	27.69	28.18	60
2	Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀) (µg/m ³)	IS 5182 : Part 23 : 2006(Reaffirmed Year : 2017)	55.81	68.38	61.59	56.36	100
3	Sulphur Dioxide (SO ₂) (µg/m ³)	IS 5182:Part 2:2001(Reaffirmed Year:2017)	10.43	9.34	10.16	9.87	80
4	Oxides of Nitrogen (NOx) (µg/m ³)	IS 5182:Part 6:2006(Reaffirmed Year:2017)	11.75	13.12	15.45	13.21	80
5	CO (mg/m3)	IS:5182 (Part-10)	0.31	0.58	0.45	0.49	02

Note-*The results are related only to item tested.

Note:

L1= Near PCL Colony L2=Near Guest House, L3= Near Crusher Unit-II L4= Near Admin. Building

Standards:

Ambient Air Quality Standard for Residual, Industrial, Rural & Other Area based on 24 hours sampling except Ozone.

Verified By

Technical Manager

----End of Report---

Authorized By

Quality Manager

Ecomen Laboratories Pvt. Ltd Second Floor Hall, House No. B-1/8. Sector-H_Aliganj, Lucknow-226024

ECOMEN LABORATORIES PVT. LTD.



Second Floor Hall, House No. B-1/8, Sector-H, Aliganj, Lucknow - 226 024

Phone No. : 0522 - 4079201/2746282

E-mail: contactus@ecomen.in, Website: www.ecomen.in, CIN - U74210UP1989PTC010601,GSTIN : 09AAACE6076H1ZI

An approved Laboratory from Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Govt. of India, New Delhi

FORMAT NO. ECO/QS/FORMAT/10TEST REPORT NO: ECO LAB/AAQ2/818/12/21TEST REPORT ISSUE DATE: 05/01/2022

TEST REPORT OF AMBIENT AIR

Name of the Company	:	M/s Prism Johnson Ltd.
Address of the Company	:	Village Mankahari
		Tehsil Rampur Baghelan
		District Satna (M.P.)
Sample Collected by	:	Mr. Anish Singh & Manoj Gupta
Sampling Method	:	IS: 5182
Date of Monitoring	:	28.12.2021
Date of Testing	:	01.01.2022 to 05.01.2022
Environmental Condition	:	Temp (⁰ C) 24, Humidity (%) 69,
		Weather Condition – Partially Cloudy
Instrument Name & Lab ID		ECO/HO/FDS/03 &ECO/HO/RDS/03

SI. No.	Tests Conducted			Limit as per National			
		Method	L1	L2	L3	L4	Ambient Air Quality
			28.12.2021	28.12.2021	28.12.2021	28.12.2021	Standards
1	Particulate Matter (PM _{2 5}) (µg/m ³)	IS 5182 : Part 24 : 2019	36.38	34.05	26.56	25.13	60
2	Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀) (µg/m ³)	IS 5182 : Part 23 : 2006(Reaffirmed Year : 2017)	67.60	58.72	48.32	41.63	100
3	Sulphur Dioxide (SO ₂) (µg/m ³)	IS 5182:Part 2:2001(Reaffirmed Year:2017)	8.84	9.41	13.14	11.13	80
4	Oxides of Nitrogen (NOx) (µg/m ³)	IS 5182:Part 6:2006(Reaffirmed Year:2017)	11.45	11.29	11.47	13.96	80
5	CO (mg/m3)	IS:5182 (Part-10)	0.55	0.38	0.39	0.28	02

Note-*The results are related only to item tested.

Note:

L1= Nr Mines Site Office L3= Hinauti Village L2= Near Western Block Garden, L4= Sijahata Village

Standards:

Ambient Air Quality Standard for Residual, Industrial, Rural & Other Area based on 24 hours sampling except Ozone.

Verified By

Technical Manager

----End of Report---

Authorized By

Quality Manager

Cuality Manager Ecomen Laboratories Pvt. Ltd. Second Floor Hall, House No. B-1/8, Sector-H. Aligani, Lucknow-226024



Second Floor Hall, House No. B-1/8, Sector-H, Aliganj, Lucknow - 226 024

Phone No. : 0522 - 4079201/2746282

E-mail: contactus@ecomen.in, Website: www.ecomen.in, CIN - U74210UP1989PTC010601,GSTIN : 09AAACE6076H1ZI

An approved Laboratory from Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Govt. of India, New Delhi

FORMAT NO. ECO/QS/FORMAT/10

TEST REPORT NO: ECO LAB/AAQ3/818/12/21 TEST REPORT ISSUE DATE: 05/01/2022

TEST REPORT OF AMBIENT AIR

Name of the Company		M/s Prism Johnson Ltd.
Address of the Company	:	Village Mankahari
	·	Tehsil Rampur Baghelan
		District Satna (M.P.)
Sample Collected by	:	Mr. Anish Singh & Manoj Gupta
Sampling Method	:	IS: 5182
Date of Monitoring	:	29.12.2021
Date of Testing	:	01.01.2022 to 05.01.2022
Environmental Condition	:	Temp (⁰ C) 26, Humidity (%) 69,
		Weather Condition – Partially Cloudy

:

Instrument Name & Lab ID

ECO/HO/FDS/02 &ECO/HO/RDS/02

SI. No.			Result				Limit as per	
	Tests Conducted	Method	L1	L2	L3	L4	National Ambient	
			29.12.2021	29.12.2021	29.12.2021	29.12.2021	Air Quality Standards	
1	Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5}) (µg/m ³)	IS 5182 : Part 24 : 2019	26.29	30.41	33.89	34.91	60	
2	Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀) (µg/m ³)	IS 5182 : Part 23 : 2006(Reaffirmed Year : 2017)	46.48	53.33	50.96	51.60	100	
3	Sulphur Dioxide (SO ₂) (µg/m ³)	IS 5182:Part 2:2001(Reaffirmed Year:2017)	10.09	12.06	12.96	10.85	80	
4	Oxides of Nitrogen (NOx) (µg/m ³)	IS 5182:Part 6:2006(Reaffirmed Year:2017)	12.07	13.27	14.46	15.04	80	
5	CO (mg/m3)	IS:5182 (Part-10)	0.39	0.30	0.49	0.47	02	

Note-*The results are related only to item tested.

Note:

L1= Adiwasi Tola (Nr Bagahai ML Area) L3=South Side of Working Pit (Bagahai Mines) L4= Near Boundary Pillar No.64 Bagahai

L2= At Baisan Tola (Nr. Bagahai ML Area),

Standards:

Ambient Air Quality Standard for Residual, Industrial, Rural & Other Area based on 24 hours sampling except Ozone.

... Verified By

Technical Manager

----End of Report---

Authorized By

Quality Manager

Ecomen Laboratories Pvt. Ltd. Second Floor Hall, House No. B-1/8. Sector-H_Aliganj, Lucknow-226024

ECOMEN LABORATORIES PVT. LTD.



Second Floor Hall, House No. B-1/8, Sector-H, Aliganj, Lucknow - 226 024

Phone No.: 0522 4079201/2746282

E-mail: contactus@ecomen.in, Website: www.ecomen.in, CIN - U74210UP1989PTC010601,GSTIN : 09AAACE6076H1ZI

An approved Laboratory from Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Govt. of India, New Delhi

FORMAT NO. ECO/QS/FORMAT/10

TEST REPORT NO: ECO LAB/AAQ/818/12/21 TEST REPORT ISSUE DATE: 05/01/2022

TEST REPORT OF WORK PLACE AIR MONITORING

Name of the Company	:	M/s Prism Johnson Ltd.
Address of the Company	:	Village Mankahari
		Tehsil Rampur Baghelan
		District Satna (M.P.)
Sample Collected by	:	Mr. Anish Singh & Manoj Gupta
Sampling Method	:	IS: 5182
Date of Monitoring	:	29.12.2021
Date of Testing	:	01.01.2022 to 05.01.2022
Environmental Condition	:	Temp (⁰ C) 25, Humidity (%) 68
		Weather Condition – Partially Cloudy,
Instrument Name & Lab ID	• • •	ECO/HO/FDS/03 &ECO/HO/RDS/03

Sl. No.				Limit as per			
	Tests Conducted	Method L1	L1	L2 21 29.12.2021	L3 29.12.2021	L4 29.12.2021	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
			29.12.2021				
1	Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5}) (µg/m ³)	IS 5182 : Part 24 : 2019	49.32	41.28	45.13	42.14	60
2	Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀) (µg/m ³)	IS 5182 : Part 23 : 2006(Reaffirmed Year : 2017)	73.28	76.29	77.58	75.70	100
3	Sulphur Dioxide (SO ₂) (µg/m ³)	IS 5182:Part 2:2001(Reaffirmed Year:2017)	15.06	11.38	11.89	11.95	80
4	Oxides of Nitrogen (NOx) (µg/m ³)	IS 5182:Part 6:2006(Reaffirmed Year:2017)	16.90	14.42	19.91	15.19	80
5	CO (mg/m3)	IS:5182 (Part-10)	0.50	0.45	0.57	0.53	02

Note-*The results are related only to item tested.

Note:

L1= Near Cement Mill Unit –II L3= Near Packing Plant L2= Near Railway Yard, L4= Kiln Unit-II

Standards:

Ambient Air Quality Standard for Residual, Industrial, Rural & Other Area based on 24 hours sampling except Ozone.

Verified By

Technical Manager

----End of Report---

Authorized By

Quality Manager

Ecomen Laboratories Pvt. Ltd. Second Floor Hall, House No. B-1/8, Sector-H_Aligani, Lucknow-226024



Second Floor Hall, House No. B-1/8, Sector-H, Aliganj, Lucknow - 226 024 Phone No. : 0522 - 4079201/2746282

E-mail: contactus@ecomen.in, Website: www.ecomen.in, CIN - U74210UP1989PTC010601,GSTIN : 09AAACE6076H1ZI

An approved Laboratory from Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Govt. of India, New Delhi

FORMAT NO. ECO/QS/FORMAT/10

TEST REPORT NO: ECO LAB/AAQ5/818/12/21 TEST REPORT ISSUE DATE: 05/01/2022

TEST REPORT OF AMBIENT AIR

Name of the Company	:	M/s Prism Johnson Ltd.
Address of the Company	:	Village Mankahari
		Tehsil Rampur Baghelan
		District Satna (M.P.)
Sample Collected by	:	Mr. Anish Singh & Manoj Gupta
Sampling Method	:	IS: 5182
Date of Monitoring	:	29.12.2021
Date of Testing	:	01.01.2022 to 05.01.2022
Environmental Condition	:	Temp (⁰ C) 28, Humidity (%) 73
		Weather Condition – Partially Cloudy
Instrument Name & Lab ID	:	ECO/HO/FDS/02 &ECO/HO/RDS/02

			Result				Limit as per National	
SI. No.	Tests Conducted	Method	L1	L2	L3	L4	Ambient Air Quality	
			30.12.2021	30.12.2021	30.12.2021	30.12.2021	Standards	
1	Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5}) (µg/m ³)	IS 5182 : Part 24 : 2019	29.45	30.48	31.78	28.61	60	
2	Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀) (µg/m ³)	IS 5182 : Part 23 : 2006(Reaffirmed Year : 2017)	56.13	69.72	59.17	51.38	100	
3	Sulphur Dioxide (SO ₂) (µg/m ³)	1S 5182:Part 2:2001(Réaffirmed Year:2017)	9.80	11.49	13.12	13.21	80	
4	Oxides of Nitrogen (NOx) (µg/m ³)	IS 5182:Part 6:2006(Reaffirmed Year:2017)	13.45	14.05	18.49	17.16	80	
5	CO (mg/m3)	IS:5182 (Part-10)	0.47	0.46	0.43	0.44	02	

Note-*The results are related only to item tested.

Note:

L1=Nr. Nar Nala Bridge, L2= Nr. Medhi Mines Boundary Pillar No 28 L3=Nr. Medhi Mines Boundary Pillar No.23 L4= Malgaon Village

Standards:

Ambient Air Quality Standard for Residual, Industrial, Rural & Other Area based on 24 hours sampling except Ozone.

Verified By

Technical Manager

----End of Report---

Authorized By

89Art Quality Manager

Second Floor Hall, House No. B-1/8, Sector-H, Aliganj, Lucknow - 226 024 Phone No. : 0522 - 4079201/2746282



E-mail: contactus@ecomen.in, Website: www.ecomen.in, CIN - U74210UP1989PTC010601,GSTIN : 09AAACE6076H1ZI

An approved Laboratory from Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Govt. of India, New Delhi

FORMAT NO. ECO/QS/FORMAT/10

TEST REPORT NO: ECO LAB/AAQ6/818/12/21 TEST REPORT ISSUE DATE: 05/01/2022

TEST REPORT OF AMBIENT AIR

Name of the Customer	:	M/s Prism Johnson Ltd.
Address of the Customer	:	Village Mankahari
		Tehsil Rampur Baghelan
		District Satna (M.P.)
Sample Collected by	:	Mr. Anish Singh & Manoj Gupta
Sampling Method	:	IS: 5182
Date of Monitoring	:	29.12.2021
Date of Testing	:	01.01.2022 to 05.01.2022
Environmental Condition	:	Temp (⁰ C) 28, Humidity (%) 73
		Weather Condition – Partially Cloudy
Instrument Name & Lab ID	:	ECO/HO/FDS/03 & ECO/HO/RDS/03

				Result		Limit as per National	
SI. No.	Tests Conducted	Method	L1	L2	L3	L4	Ambient Air Quality
		A	30.12.2021	30.12.2021	30.12.2021	30.12.2021	Standards
1	Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5}) (µg/m ³)	IS 5182 : Part 24 : 2019	28.45	29.12	26.29	29.30	69
2	Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀) (µg/m ³)	IS 5182 : Part 23 : 2006(Reaffirmed Year : 2017)	44.18	52.62	48.06	56.42	100
3	Sulphur Dioxide (SO ₂) (µg/m ³)	IS 5182:Part 2:2001(Reaffirmed Year:2017)	9.74	10.07	12.57	11.89	80
4	Oxides of Nitrogen (NOx) (µg/m ³)	IS 5182:Part 6:2006(Reaffirmed Year:2017)	12.86	16.63	15.57	16.87	80
5	CO (mg/m3)	IS:5182 (Part-10)	0.32	0.45	0.98	0.38	02

Note-*The results are related only to item tested. **Note:**

L1= Badarkha Village L2= Hinauta Village L3= Chulhi Village L4= Kulhari Village

Standards:

Ambient Air Quality Standard for Residual, Industrial, Rural & Other Area based on 24 hours sampling except Ozone.

Verified By

Technical Manager

Authorized By

Quality Manager

----End of Report---



Second Floor Hall, House No. B-1/8, Sector-H, Aliganj, Lucknow - 226 024

Phone No. : 0522 - 4079201/2746282

E-mail: contactus@ecomen.in, Website: www.ecomen.in, CIN - U74210UP1989PTC010601,GSTIN : 09AAACE6076H1ZI

An approved Laboratory from Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Govt. of India, New Delhi

FORMAT NO. ECO/QS/FORMAT/09

TEST REPORT NO: ECO LAB/DW/1525/12/21 TEST REPORT ISSUE DATE: 13.01.2022

TEST REPORT OF DRINKING WATER*

Name of the Company	: M/s. Prism Johnson Ltd.					
Name of the Company	. W/s. i fishi Johnson Etu.					
Address of the Company	: Village Mankahari, Tehsil Rampur Baghelan					
	Distt.Satna (M.P.)					
Sampling Method	: APHA/ IS: 3025					
Sample Collected by	: Mr.Anish Singh					
Sample Quantity	: As per requirement.					
Date of Sampling	: 29.12.2021					
Date of Receiving	: 03.01.2022					
Date of Analysis	: 03.01.2022 to 07.01.2022					
Source of Sample	: Plant Site - Bore Well					
Sample ID Code	: ELW-15293					

SI. No.	TESTS	PROTOCOL	RESULT	Detection Range	INDIAN STANDARDS as per IS 10500:1991(Reaff:2012)	
					Desirable	Permissible
1.	Colour (Hazen unit)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 2120 B	<5.0	5-100	5.00	15.0
2.	Odour	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 2150 B	Agreeable	Qualitative	Agreeable	Agreeable
3.	Taste	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, A+B	Agreeable	Qualitative	Agreeable	Agreeable
4.	Turbidity as (NTU)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 2130-A+B	BDL	1 - 100	1.0	5.0
5.	рН	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 4500H+ A+B	7.39	2.0 -12	6 5-8.5	No Relax.
6.	Total Dissolved Solids as TDS (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 2540-C	545.0	5 - 5000	500	2000
7.	Alkalinity (mg/l)	APHA, 23rd Ed. 2017, 2320 A+ B	136.0	5-1500	200	600
8.	Total Hardness as CaCO3 (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 2340 A+C	204.0	5-1500	200.0	600.0
9.	Calcium as Ca (mg/l)	APHA, 23rd Ed. 2017, 3500 Ca A+B	44.80	5 - 1000	75.0	200.0
10.	Magnesium as Mg (mg/l)	APHA, 23rd Ed. 2017, 3500 Mg A+B	22.35	5-1000	30.0	100.0
11.	Chloride as Cl (mg/l)	APHA, 23rd Ed. 2017, 4500 CI A+B	32.0	5-1000	250.0	1000.0
12.	Fluorides as F (mg/l)	APHA, 23rd Ed. 2017, 4500-C	0.31	0.05-10	1.0	1.5
13.	Sulfate as SO4 (mg/l)	APHA, 23rd Ed. 2017, 4500-SO42 E	87.65	1.0 -250	200.0	400.0
14.	Nitrate Nitrogen as NO3 (mg/l)	APHA, 23rd Ed. 2017, 4500-NO3 B	12.67	5.0 - 100	45.0	No Relax.
15.	Manganese as Mn (mg/l)	APHA, 23rd Ed. 2017, 3111 A+B	BDL	0.1-5	0.10	0.30
16.	Zinc as Zn (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 3111 A+B	0.14	0.02-50	5.0	15
17.	Lead as Pb (mg/l)	APHA, 23rd Ed. 2017, 3111 A+B	BDL	0.01-2	0.01	No Relax.
18.	Cadmium as Cd (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 3111 A+B	BDL	0.002-2	0.003	No Relax
19.	Nickel as Ni (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 3111 A+B	BDL	0.02-5	0.02	No Relax
20.	Arsenic as As (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 3114 C	BDL	0.01-2	0.01	0.05
21.	Total Chromium as Cr (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 3111 – A +B	BDL	0.04-10	0.05	No Relax
22.	Mercury as Hg (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 3112 A+B	BDL	0.001-1	0.001	No Relax.
23	Copper as Cu (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 3111 A+B	BDL	0.05-5	0.05	1.5
24.	Boron as B (mg/l)	APHA, 23rd Ed. 2017, 4500 B A+C	0.22	0.2 - 10	0.5	1.0
25.	Aluminium as Al (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017 (3111-A+B)	BDL	1.0-100	0.03	0.2
26.	Free Residual Chlorine (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 4500-Cl B	BDL	0.5-10	0.20	1.0
27.	Sulphide as H ₂ S (mg/l)	APHA, 23rd Ed. 2017, Reprint 2007	BDL	0.04-10	0.05	No Relax
28.	Iodide as I (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 4500 – 1B	BDL	0.1-10		-
29.	Iron as Fe (mg/l)	APHA, 23rd Ed. 2017, 3500 Fe B	0.18	0.02-50	0.3	No Relax.
30.	Total coliform (MPN/100 ml)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 9221 B+C	Absent	1.8	Absent	Absent
31.	E.coli (Nos/100)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 9221B+E	Absent	1.8	Absent	Absent

*The result are related only to item tested.

BDL = Below Detection Limit

Verified By

Technical Manager

Authorized By

Quality Manager

Ecomen Laboratories Pvt. Ltd. Second Floor Hall, House No. 8-1/8,



Second Floor Hall, House No. B-1/8, Sector-H, Aliganj, Lucknow - 226 024 Phone No. : 0522 - 4079201/2746282

E-mail: contactus@ecomen.in, Website: www.ecomen.in, CIN - U74210UP1989PTC010601,GSTIN : 09AAACE6076H1ZI

An approved Laboratory from Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Govt. of India, New Delhi

FORMAT NO. ECO/QS/FORMAT/09

TEST REPORT NO: ECO LAB/DW/1525/12/21 TEST REPORT ISSUE DATE: 13.01.2022

TEST REPORT OF DRINKING WATER*

Name of the Company	: M/s. Prism Johnson Ltd.					
Address of the Company	y: Village Mankahari, Tehsil Rampur Baghelan					
	Distt.Satna (M.P.)					
Sampling Method	: APHA/ IS: 3025					
Sample Collected by	: Mr. Anish Singh					
Sample Quantity	: As per requirement.					
Date of Sampling	: 29.12.2021					
Date of Receiving	: 03.01.2022					
Date of Analysis	: 03.01.2022 to 07.01.2022					
Source of Sample	: Mines Site Office Hinauti Sijatah					
Sample ID Code	: ELW-15283					

SI. No.	TESTS	PROTOCOL	RESULT	Detection Range	INDIAN STAND 10500:1991(1	
					Desirable	Permissible
1.	Colour (Hazen unit)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 2120 B	<5.0	5-100	5.00	15.0
2.	Odour	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 2150 B	Agreeable	Qualitative	Agreeable	Agreeable
3.	Taste	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, A+B	Agreeable	Qualitative	Agreeable	Agreeable
4.	Turbidity as (NTU)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 2130-A+B	1.29	1 - 100	1.0	5.0
5.	рН	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 4500H+ A+B	7.65	2.0 -12	6.5-8.5	No Relax.
6.	Total Dissolved Solids as TDS (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 2540-C	496.0	5 - 5000	500	2000
7.	Alkalinity (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 2320 A+ B	164.0	5-1500	200	600
8.	Total Hardness as CaCO ₃ (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 2340 A+C	228.0	5-1500	200.0	600.0
9.	Calcium as Ca (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 3500 Ca A+B	60.80	5 - 1000	75.0	200.0
10.	Magnesium as Mg (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 3500 Mg A+B	18.46	5-1000	30.0	100.0
11.	Chloride as Cl (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 4500 Cl A+B	48.0	5-1000	250.0	1000.0
12.	Fluorides as F (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 4500-C	0.23	0.05-10	1.0	1.5
13.	Sulfate as SO ₄ (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 4500-SO4 ²⁻ E	52.50	1.0 -250	200.0	400.0
14.	Nitrate Nitrogen as NO3 (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 4500-NO ₃ ⁻ B	13.65	5.0 - 100	45.0	No Relax.
15.	Manganese as Mn (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 3111 A+B	BDL	0.1-5	0.10	0.30
16.	Zinc as Zn (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 3111 A+B	BDL	0.02-50	5.0	15
17.	Lead as Pb (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 3111 A+B	BDL	0.01-2	0.01	No Relax.
18.	Cadmium as Cd (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 3111 A+B	BDL	0.002-2	0.003	No Relax
19.	Nickel as Ni (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 3111 A+B	BDL	0.02-5	0.02	No Relax
20.	Arsenic as As (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 3114 C	BDL	0.01-2	0.01	0.05
21.	Total Chromium as Cr (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 3111 – A +B	BDL	0.04-10	0.05	No Relax
22.	Mercury as Hg (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 3112 A+B	BDL	0.001-1	0.001	No Relax.
23	Copper as Cu (mg/l)	APHA, 23rd Ed. 2017, 3111 A+B	BDL	0.05-5	0.05	1.5
24.	Boron as B (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 4500 B A+C	0.21	0.2 - 10	0.5	1.0
25.	Aluminium as Al (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017 (3111-A+B)	BDL	1.0-100	0.03	0.2
26.	Free Residual Chlorine (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 4500-Cl B	BDL	0.5-10	0.20	1.0
27.	Sulphide as H ₂ S (mg/l)	APHA, 23rd Ed. 2017, Reprint 2007	BDL	0.04-10	0.05	No Relax
28.	Iodide as I (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 4500 – IB	BDL	0.1-10	-	
29.	Iron as Fe (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 3500 Fe B	0.13	0.02-50	0.3	No Relax.
30.	Total coliform (MPN/100 ml)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 9221 B+C	Absent	1.8	Absent	Absent
31.	E.coli (Nos/100)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 9221B+E	Absent	1.8	Absent	Absent

*The result are related only to item tested.

BDL = Below Detection Limit

Verified By

Technical Manager

Authorized By

Quality Manager

Ecomen Laboratories Pvt. Ltd. Second Floor Hall, House No. B-1/8.



Second Floor Hall, House No. B-1/8, Sector-H, Aliganj, Lucknow - 226 024

Phone No. : 0522 - 4079201/2746282

E-mail: contactus@ecomen.in, Website: www.ecomen.in, CIN - U74210UP1989PTC010601,GSTIN : 09AAACE6076H1ZI

An approved Laboratory from Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Govt. of India, New Delhi

FORMAT NO. ECO/QS/FORMAT/09

TEST REPORT NO: ECO LAB/DW/1525/12/21 TEST REPORT ISSUE DATE: 13.01.2022

TEST REPORT OF DRINKING WATER*

Name of the Company	: M/s. Prism Johnson Ltd.
Address of the Company	: Village Mankahari, Tehsil Rampur Baghelan
	Distt.Satna (M.P.)
Sampling Method	: APHA/ IS: 3025
Sample Collected by	: Mr. Anish Singh
Sample Quantity	: As per requirement.
Date of Sampling	: 29.12.2021
Date of Receiving	: 03.01.2022
Date of Analysis	: 03.01.2022 to 07.01.2022
Source of Sample	:Sijhata Village – Bore Well
Sample ID Code	: ELW-15280

SI. No.	TESTS	TESTS PROTOCOL RESULT		Detection Range	INDIAN STANDARDS as per 1S 10500:1991(Reaff:2012)	
					Desirable	Permissible
1.	Colour (Hazen unit)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 2120 B	<5.0	5-100	5.00	15.0
2.	Odour	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 2150 B	Agreeable	Qualitative	Agreeable	Agreeable
3.	Taste	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, A+B	Agreeable	Qualitative	Agreeable	Agreeable
4.	Turbidity as (NTU)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 2130-A+B	1.21	1 - 100	1.0	5.0
5.	pH	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 4500H+ A+B	7.16	2.0 -12	6.5-8.5	No Relax.
6.	Total Dissolved Solids as TDS (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 2540-C	395.0	5 - 5000	500	2000
7.	Alkalinity (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 2320 A+ B	152.0	5-1500	200	600
8.	Total Hardness as CaCO3 (mg/l)	APHA, 23rd Ed. 2017, 2340 A+C	256.0	5-1500	200.0	600.0
9.	Calcium as Ca (mg/l)	APHA, 23rd Ed. 2017, 3500 Ca A+B	70.40	5 - 1000	75.0	200.0
10.	Magnesium as Mg (mg/l)	APHA, 23rd Ed. 2017, 3500 Mg A+B	19.44	5-1000	30.0	100.0
11.	Chloride as Cl (mg/l)	APHA, 23rd Ed. 2017, 4500 Cl A+B	68.0	5-1000	250.0	1000.0
12.	Fluorides as F (mg/l)	APHA, 23rd Ed. 2017, 4500-C	0.32	0.05-10	1.0	1.5
13.	Sulfate as SO4 (mg/l)	APHA, 23rd Ed. 2017, 4500-SO42 E	96.45	1.0 -250	200.0	400.0
14.	Nitrate Nitrogen as NO3 (mg/l)	APHA, 23rd Ed. 2017, 4500-NO3 B	10.50	5.0 - 100	45.0	No Relax.
15.	Manganese as Mn (mg/l)	APHA, 23rd Ed. 2017, 3111 A+B	BDL	0.1-5	0.10	0.30
16.	Zinc as Zn (mg/l)	APHA, 23rd Ed. 2017, 3111 A+B	0.15	0.02-50	5.0	15
17.	Lead as Pb (mg/l)	APHA, 23rd Ed. 2017, 3111 A+B	BDL	0.01-2	0.01	No Relax.
18.	Cadmium as Cd (mg/l)	APHA, 23rd Ed. 2017, 3111 A+B	BDL	0.002-2	0.003	No Relax
19.	Nickel as Ni (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 3111 A+B	BDL	0.02-5	0.02	No Relax
20.	Arsenic as As (mg/l)	APHA, 23rd Ed. 2017, 3114 C	BDL	0.01-2	0.01	0.05
21.	Total Chromium as Cr (mg/l)	APHA, 23rd Ed. 2017, 3111 - A +B	BDL	0.04-10	0.05	No Relax
22.	Mercury as Hg (mg/l)	APHA, 23rd Ed. 2017, 3112 A+B	BDL	0.001-1	0.001	No Relax.
23	Copper as Cu (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 3111 A+B	BDL	0.05-5	0.05	1.5
24.	Boron as B (mg/l)	APHA, 23rd Ed. 2017, 4500 B A+C	0.23	0.2 - 10	0.5	1.0
25.	Aluminium as Al (mg/l)	APHA, 23rd Ed. 2017 (3111-A+B)	BDL	1.0-100	0.03	0.2
26.	Free Residual Chlorine (mg/l)	APHA, 23rd Ed. 2017, 4500-Cl B	BDL	0.5-10	0.20	1.0
27.	Sulphide as H ₂ S (mg/l)	APHA, 23rd Ed. 2017, Reprint 2007	BDL	0.04-10	0.05	No Relax
28.	Iodide as I (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 4500 – IB	BDL	0.1-10	-	-
29.	Iron as Fe (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 3500 Fe B	0.17	0.02-50	0.3	No Relax.
30.	Total coliform (MPN/100 ml)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 9221 B+C	Absent	1.8	Absent	Absent
31.	E.coli (Nos/100)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 9221B+E	Absent	1.8	Absent	Absent

*The result are related only to item tested.

BDL = Below Detection Limit

Verified By

Technical Manager

Authorized By

Quality Manager

Econtan Laboratorias Prillud cond Floor Hall House No Bills



Second Floor Hall, House No. B-1/8, Sector-H, Aliganj, Lucknow - 226 024

Phone No.: 0522 4079201/2746282

E-mail: contactus@ecomen.in, Website: www.ecomen.in, CIN - U74210UP1989PTC010601,GSTIN : 09AAACE6076H1ZI

An approved Laboratory from Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Govt. of India, New Delhi

FORMAT NO. ECO/QS/FORMAT/23 REPORT NO: ECO LAB/Piezo/GW/1243/12/21 TEST REPORT ISSUE DATE: 05.01.2022

REPORT OF WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENT

Name of the Customer Address of the Customer	 M/s. Prism Johnson Ltd. Village - Mankahari, Tehsil - Rampur Baghelan Distt.Satna (M.P.)
Measurement by	: Mr. Anish Singh & Manoj Gupta
Date of Measurement	: December 30 th , 2021

SI. No.	Piezometer Name.	Water Level (meter)
1.	Colony Gate	14.76
2.	Behind B Block	15.58
3.	Behind C Block	4.38
4.	Auto Work Shop	13.53
5.	In Front Den	5.41
6.	Rose Garden near boundary	18.90
7.	Rose Garden near Road	18.70
8.	Western Block Mines	11.20
9.	Near New Magzine Mines	11.21
10.	Mankahari Mines	16.58
11.	Mines near Ramprasan	13.41
12.	Side Office Mines	Block

Verified By

Technical Manager

----End of Report---

Authorized By

gur Quality Manager

Second Floor Hall, House No. B-1/8, Sector-H, Aliganj, Lucknow - 226 024 Phone No. : 0522 - 4079201/2746282

E-mail: contactus@ecomen.in, Website: www.ecomen.in, CIN - U74210UP1989PTC010601,GSTIN : 09AAACE6076H1ZI

An approved Laboratory from Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Govt. of India, New Delhi

FORMAT NO. ECO/QS/FORMAT/13

TEST REPORT NO: ECO LAB/AN1/818/12/21 TEST REPORT ISSUE DATE: 05/01/2022

TEST REPORT OF AMBIENT NOISE LEVEL

Name of the Company Address of the Company	:	M/s Prism Johnson Ltd. Village Mankahari Tehsil Rampur Baghelan District- Satna (M.P.)
Sample Collected by		Mr. Anish Singh & Manoj Gupta
Date of Monitoring	:	29.12.2021 to 30.12.2021
Instrument Description	:	Noise Meter (Make:Envirotech)
Test Method	:	IS: 4412, Part-1 & 2, 1991

Sl. No.	Locations	Day Time Leq Value in dB(A)	Night Time Leq Value in dB(A)
1.	Near PCL Colony	42.32	38.83
2.	Near Guest House	44.36	40.87
3.	Near Crusher Unit-II	59.78	52.56
4.	Near Admin. Building	53.87	47.06

Noise (Ambient Standard)

Area Code	Category of area	Limit in dB (A) Leq	
		Day Time	Night Time
А	Industrial Area	75	70
В	Commercial Area	65	55
С	Residential Area	55	45
D	Silence Zone	50	40

Note:

1. Day time is reckoned in between 6:00 AM and 10:00 PM.

2. Night time is reckoned in between 10:00 PM and 6:00 AM

3. Silence zone is defined as area up to 100m around such premises as hospitals, educational institutions & courts. The silence zones are to be declared by a competent authority.

4. Mixed categories of areas should be declared as one of the four above-mentioned categories by the competent authority and the corresponding standard shall apply.

Verified By

Technical Manager

Authorized By

Quality Manager

----End of Report---



ecoMen

Second Floor Hall, House No. B-1/8, Sector-H, Aliganj, Lucknow - 226 024 Phone No. : 0522 - 4079201/2746282

E-mail: contactus@ecomen.in, Website: www.ecomen.in, CIN - U74210UP1989PTC010601,GSTIN : 09AAACE6076H1ZI

An approved Laboratory from Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Govt. of India, New Delhi

FORMAT NO. ECO/QS/FORMAT/13

TEST REPORT NO: ECO LAB/AN2/818/12/21 TEST REPORT ISSUE DATE: 05/01/2022

TEST REPORT OF AMBIENT NOISE LEVEL

Name of the Company Address of the Company	:	M/s Prism Johnson Ltd. Village Mankahari Tehsil Rampur Baghelan District- Satna (M.P.)
Sample Collected by	•	Mr. Anish Singh & Manoj Gupta
Date of Monitoring	:	29.12.2021 to 30.12.2021
Instrument Description	:	Noise Meter (Make:Envirotech)
Test Method	:	IS: 4412, Part-1 & 2, 1991

Sl. No.	Locations	Day Time Leq Value in dB(A)	Night Time Leq Value in dB(A)
1.	At Mines site Office	57.86	50.46
2.	Near Western Block Garden	53.87	51.89
3.	Village Hinauti	44.63	37.13
4.	Village Sijahata	43.23	36.42

Noise (Ambient Standard)

Area Code	Category of area	Limit in dB (A) Leq	
		Day Time	Night Time
A	Industrial Area	75	70
В	Commercial Area	65	55
С	Residential Area	55	45
D	Silence Zone	50	40

Note:

1. Day time is reckoned in between 6:00 AM and 10:00 PM.

2. Night time is reckoned in between 10:00 PM and 6:00 AM

3. Silence zone is defined as area up to 100m around such premises as hospitals, educational institutions & courts. The silence zones are to be declared by a competent authority.

4. Mixed categories of areas should be declared as one of the four above-mentioned categories by the competent authority and the corresponding standard shall apply.

Verified By

Technical Manager

----End of Report---

Authorized By

Quality Manager



Second Floor Hall, House No. B-1/8, Sector H, Aliganj, Lucknow - 226 024

Phone No.: 0522 - 4079201/2746282

E-mail: contactus@ecomen.in, Website: www.ecomen.in, CIN - U74210UP1989PTC010601,GSTIN : 09AAACE6076H1ZI

An approved Laboratory from Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Govt. of India, New Delhi

FORMAT NO. ECO/QS/FORMAT/13

TEST REPORT NO: ECO LAB/AN3/818/12/21 TEST REPORT ISSUE DATE: 05/01/2022

TEST REPORT OF AMBIENT NOISE LEVEL

Name of the Company Address of the Company	:	M/s Prism Johnson Ltd. Village Mankahari Tehsil Rampur Baghelan District- Satna(M.P.)
Sample Collected by Date of Monitoring Instrument Description Test Method	: :	Mr. Anish Singh & Manoj Gupta 29.12.2021 to 30.12.2021 Noise Meter (Make:Envirotech) IS: 4412, Part-1 & 2, 1991

Sl. No.	Locations	Day Time Leq Value in dB(A)	Night Time Leq Value in dB(A)
1.	Near Nar Nala Bridge	44.3	38.4
2.	Near Medhi Mines Boundary		
	Pillar No28	50.6	41.05
3.	Near Medhi Mines Boundary	· · · · ·	
	Pillar No23	52.8	46.1
4.	Village Malgaon		
		43.6	42.5

Noise (Ambient Standard)

Area Code	Category of area	Limit in dB (A) Leq	
		Day Time	Night Time
А	Industrial Area	75	70
В	Commercial Area	65	55
С	Residential Area	55	45
D	Silence Zone	50	40

Note:

1. Day time is reckoned in between 6:00 AM and 10:00 PM.

2. Night time is reckoned in between 10:00 PM and 6:00 AM

3. Silence zone is defined as area up to 100m around such premises as hospitals, educational institutions & courts. The silence zones are to be declared by a competent authority.

4. Mixed categories of areas should be declared as one of the four above-mentioned categories by the competent authority and the corresponding standard shall apply.

Verified By

Authorized By

Quality Manager

Ecomen Laboratories Pvt. Ltd. Second Floor Hall, House No. B-1/8, Sector-H_Aliganj, Lucknow-226024

Technical Manager

----End of Report---



Phone No. : 0522 - 4079201/2746282 E-mail: contactus@ecomen.in, Website: www.ecomen.in, CIN - U74210UP1989PTC010601,GSTIN : 09AAACE6076H1ZI

An approved Laboratory from Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Govt. of India, New Delhi

FORMAT NO. ECO/QS/FORMAT/13

TEST REPORT NO: ECO LAB/AN4/818/08/21 TEST REPORT ISSUE DATE: 05/01/2022

TEST REPORT OF AMBIENT NOISE LEVEL

Name of the Company Address of the Company	:	M/s Prism Johnson Ltd . Village Mankahari Tehsil Rampur Baghelan District- Satna(M.P.)
Sample Collected by	:	Mr. Anish Singh & Manoj Gupta
Date of Monitoring	:	29.12.2021 to 30.12.2021
Instrument Description	:	Noise Meter (Make:Envirotech)
Test Method	:	IS: 4412, Part-1 & 2, 1991

Sl. No.	Locations	Day Time Leq Value in dB(A)	Night Time Leq Value in dB(A)
1.	At Adiwasi Tola	47.32	42.3
2.	At BaisanTola	45.07	41.9
3.	South Site of Working Pit	55.60	50.7
4.	Near Boundary Pillar No.64	53.8	47.6

Noise (Ambient Standard)

Area Code	Category of area	Limit in dB (A) Leq	
		Day Time	Night Time
А	Industrial Area	75	70
В	Commercial Area	65	55
С	Residential Area	55	45
D	Silence Zone	50	40

Note:

1. Day time is reckoned in between 6:00 AM and 10:00 PM.

Night time is reckoned in between 10:00 PM and 6:00 AM 2.

Silence zone is defined as area up to 100m around such premises as hospitals, 3. educational institutions & courts. The silence zones are to be declared by a competent authority.

Mixed categories of areas should be declared as one of the four above-mentioned 4. categories by the competent authority and the corresponding standard shall apply.

Verified By

Technical Manager

Authorized By

Ecomen Laboratories Pvt. Ltd. Second Floor Hall, House No. B-1/8, Sector-H_Aligani, Lucknow-226024

----End of Report---



Second Floor Hall, House No. B-1/8, Sector-H, Aliganj, Lucknow - 226 024 Phone No. : 0522 - 4079201/2746282

E-mail: contactus@ecomen.in, Website: www.ecomen.in, CIN - U74210UP1989PTC010601,GSTIN : 09AAACE6076H1ZI

An approved Laboratory from Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Govt. of India, New Delhi

FORMAT NO. ECO/QS/FORMAT/13

TEST REPORT NO: ECO LAB/AN5/818/12/21 TEST REPORT ISSUE DATE: 05/01/2022

TEST REPORT OF AMBIENT NOISE LEVEL

Name of the Company Address of the Company	:	M/s Prism Johnson Ltd. Village Mankahari Tehsil Rampur Baghelan District- Satna(M.P.)
Sample Collected by	:	Mr. Anish Singh & Manoj Gupta
Date of Monitoring	:	29.12.2021 to 30.12.2021
Instrument Description	:	Noise Meter (Make:Envirotech)
Test Method	:	IS: 4412, Part-1 & 2, 1991

Sl. No.	Locations	Day Time Leq Value in dB(A)	Night Time Leq Value in dB(A)
1.	Village Badarkha	47.20	37.43
2.	Village Hinauta	46.74	36.29
3.	Village Chulhi	44.60	37.68
4.	Village Kulhari	45.38	36.13

Noise (Ambient Standard)

Area Code	Category of area	Limit in d	B (A) Leq
		Day Time	Night Time
А	Industrial Area	75	70
В	Commercial Area	65	55
С	Residential Area	55	45
D	Silence Zone	50	40

Note:

1. Day time is reckoned in between 6:00 AM and 10:00 PM.

2. Night time is reckoned in between 10:00 PM and 6:00 AM

3. Silence zone is defined as area up to 100m around such premises as hospitals, educational institutions & courts. The silence zones are to be declared by a competent authority.

4. Mixed categories of areas should be declared as one of the four above-mentioned categories by the competent authority and the corresponding standard shall apply.

Verified By

Technical Manager

Authorized By

Quality Manager

----End of Report---

Second Floor Hall, House No. B-1/8, Sector-H, Aliganj, Lucknow - 226 024 Phone No.: 0522 - 4079201/2746282



E-mail: contactus@ecomen.in, Website: www.ecomen.in, CIN - U74210UP1989PTC010601,GSTIN : 09AAACE6076H1ZI

An approved Laboratory from Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Govt. of India, New Delhi

FORMAT NO. ECO/QS/FORMAT/13

TEST REPORT NO: ECO LAB/AN6/818/12/21 TEST REPORT ISSUE DATE: 05/01/2022

TEST REPORT OF WORK PLACE NOISE LEVEL

Name of the Company Address of the Company	:	M/s Prism Johnson Ltd. Village Mankahari Tehsil Rampur Baghelan District- Satna(M.P.)
Sample Collected by Date of Monitoring Instrument Description Test Method	::	Mr. Anish Singh & Manoj Gupta 29.12.2021 to 30.12.2021 Noise Meter (Make:Envirotech) IS: 4412, Part-1 & 2, 1991

Sl. No.	Locations	Noise Level dB(A)
1.	Kiln Unit-II	78.89
2.	Cement Mill Unit -II	72.89
3.	Near Railway Yard,	78.92
4.	Near Packing Plant	79.13

Verified By

Technical Manager

----End of Report---

Authorized By

Quality Manager



Second Floor Hall, House No. B-1/8, Sector-H, Aliganj, Lucknow - 226 024

Phone No. : 0522 - 4079201/2746282

E-mail: contactus@ecomen.in, Website: www.ecomen.in, CIN - U74210UP1989PTC010601,GSTIN : 09AAACE6076H1ZI

An approved Laboratory from Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Govt. of India, New Delhi

FORMAT NO. ECO/QS/FORMAT/13

TEST REPORT NO: ECO LAB/AN7/818/12/21 TEST REPORT ISSUE DATE: 05/01/2022

TEST REPORT OF AMBIENT NOISE LEVEL

Name of the Company Address of the Company	:	M/s Prism Johnson Ltd. Village Mankahari Tehsil Rampur Baghelan District- Satna(M.P.)
Sample Collected by	:	Mr. Anish Singh & Manoj Gupta
Date of Monitoring	:	29.12.2021 to 30.12.2021
Instrument Description	:	Noise Meter (Make:Envirotech)
Test Method	:	IS: 4412, Part-1 & 2, 1991

Sl. No.	Locations	Day Time Leq Value in dB(A)	Night Time Leq Value in dB(A)
1.	Near Site Office	53.89	42.06
2.	North side of mines pit	52.17	45.32
3.	South side of pit	47.56	43.69
4.	East side of pit.	44.36	40.88

Verified By

Technical Manager

----End of Report---

Authorized By



Second Floor Hall, House No. B-1/8, Sector-H, Aligani, Lucknow - 226 024

Phone No. : 0522 - 4079201/2746282

E-mail: contactus@ecomen.in, Website: www.ecomen.in, CIN - U74210UP1989PTC010601,GSTIN : 09AAACE6076H1ZI

An approved Laboratory from Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Govt. of India, New Delhi

FORMAT NO. ECO/QS/FORMAT/13

TEST REPORT NO: ECO LAB/AN1/818/12/21 TEST REPORT ISSUE DATE: 05.01.2022

TEST REPORT OF NOISE LEVEL SURVEY

Name of the Customer	:	M/s Prism Johnson Ltd.
Address of the Customer	:	Village Mankahari
		Tehsil Rampur Baghelan
		District- Satna (M.P.)
Sample Collected by	:	Mr. Anish Singh & Manoj Gupta
Date of Monitoring	:	29.12.2021 to 30.12.2021
Instrument Description	:	Noise Meter (Maske: Enivrotech)

Sl. No.	Locations	Leq Value in dB(A)	Protective Measures Adopted
Dozei	r-155 A		
1	Operator's cabin idle running	66.9	Ear muff provided
2	Operator's Cabin running on load	81.6	Ear muff provided
Pocla	in 300 CK		
3	Operator's cabin idle running	73.1	Ear muff provided
4	Operator's Cabin while loading	74.3	Ear muff provided
HAU	LPAK-PH 40		
5	Operator's Cabin while being loaded	71.2	Ear muff provided
6	Operator's Cabin while hauling	72.4	Ear muff provided
7	Operator's Cabin unloading in the	89.3	Ear muff provided
/	hopper of crusher	(For 20 Second)	
8	Alarm (while Reversing of dumper)	105.0	Short Duration
ATL	ASCOPCODRILL		
9	Operator's point while drilling	81.3	Ear muff provided
ROC	KBREAKER		
10	Operator's Cabin	72.9	Ear muff provided
HEA	VY BLASTING (INSTANTANEOUS)		
11	Blasting shelter	104.7	Momentary
12	At safe zone	82.4	
AMB	BIENT NOISE LEVEL DURING WORK	ING HOURS	
13	Office Campus, Mines workshop, Outfield (Haul Road)	74.4	-
14	Office Campus, Mines Workshop, Outfield (Haul Road) (at Night)	60.6	-

Verified By

Authorized By

Quality Manager

Ecomen Laboratories Pvt. Ltd. Second Floor Hall, House No. B-1/8, Sector-H_Aliganj, Lucknow-226024

Technical Manager


Confidential

CSIR - CENTRAL INSTITUTE OF MINING & FUEL RESEARCH (Council of Scientific & Industrial Research) Barwa Road, Dhanbad – 826 015



Report on

Study and advice for optimization of blast design parameters at Prism Cement Limestone Mine of M/s Prism Cement Limited to control ground vibration, air overpressure/noise and flyrocks within safe limits for the safety of houses/structures in the periphery of the mine when blasting is to be performed at 50 m and beyond



PROJECT NO.: CNP/4491/2016-17

FEBRUARY 2017

CSIR - CENTRAL INSTITUTE OF MINING & FUEL RESEARCH (Council of Scientific & Industrial Research) Barwa Road, Dhanbad – 826 015



REPORT ON

Study and advice for optimization of blast design parameters at Prism Cement Limestone Mine of M/s Prism Cement Limited to control ground vibration, air overpressure/noise and flyrocks within safe limits for the safety of houses/structures in the periphery of the mine when blasting is to be performed at 50 m and beyond

BY

Dr. M. P. Roy,	Principal Scientist & Project Leader
Dr. C. Sawmliana,	Principal Scientist
Shri Vivek K Himanshu,	Scientist
Shri R. S. Yadav,	Sr.Technical Officer
Shri P. Hembram,	Technical Assistant
Dr. P. Pal Roy,	Outstanding Scientist & HORG
Dr. P. K. Singh,	Director

PROJECT NO.: CNP/4491/2016-17

FEBRUARY 2017

NOTE

This report is meant for internal use of the sponsor of the study and it should not be published in full or part by the sponsor. It should not be communicated or circulated to outside parties except concern departments. However, CSIR-CIMFR reserves the right to publish the results of investigation for the benefit of the mining industry.

The recommendations are based on the results of investigation carried out at Prism Cement Limestone Mine of M/s Prism cement Limited. It is hoped that the recommendations will be implemented to get optimum results without hampering production, productivity and safety of the mine. The recommendations are guidelines, which should be implemented in letter and spirit.

Since, the day-to-day blasting operations are not in the control of CSIR-CIMFR, the research team will not be held responsible for any untoward incident caused due to blasting.

SIGNATURE OF THE PROJECT PROPONENTS

Mura K

(Dr. M. P. Roy) Principal Scientist Project Leader

Pal Roy

(Dr. P. Pal Roy) \ Outstanding Scientist & HORG Project Co-ordinator

CSIR-CIMFR AUTHORISED SIGNATORIES

(Dr. V. K. Kalyani) Sr. Principal Scientist & HOS Project Monitoring & Evaluation Cell

(Dr. R. V. K. Singh) Chief Scientist & HORG Business Development & industrial Liaison

Contents

Page Nos

Executive summary	1
1. Introduction	3
2. Location and geology	3
3. Instrumentations	3
4. Blasting details	4
5. Analyses of recorded vibration data	5
5.1 Frequency of blast vibration	6
5.2 Structural responses to ground vibration and their natural frequencies	9
6. Existing vibration standard and criteria to prevent damage	10
7. Air over-pressure/Noise	10
8. Flyrocks	11
9. Recording of in-the -hole velocity of dctonation (VOD) of explosives	11
10. Blast delay optimization with the help of signature blast	12
11. Human response to blasting	14
12. Results and discussions	14
13. Conclusions and recommendations	15
Acknowledgement	16
Annexure	

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report relates to the study conducted by CSIR-Central Institute of Mining & Fuel Research (CIMFR), Dhanbad to study and advice for optimization of blast design parameters at Prism Cement Limestone Mine of M/s Prism Cement Limited, Satna to control ground vibration within safe limits for the safety of structures in the periphery of the mine with improved production and productivity. The study involved trials with varying blast designs and charging patterns, monitoring of ground vibration, air over-pressure/noise at various locations in the periphery of the mines. The ejections of flyrock from blasting operations were also monitored. The results of investigation, analyses of data and recommendations, made thereof, are summarised below:

- Fifteen blasts were conducted at different benches of the Prism Cement Limestone Mine of M/s Prism Cement Limited, Satna and 60 blast induced ground vibration data were recorded in the periphery of the mine.
- Maximum vibration recorded from production hole blast was 31.0 mm/s at 50 m. The blast was conducted at 15 no. Goyal face of Prism Cement Limestone Mine. The total explosive weight and explosive weight per delay were 710 kg and 50 kg respectively.
- The maximum air over-pressure was recorded from the blast conducted at 15 no. Goyal face on 26.12.16. The recorded air over-pressure was 137.8 dB(L) at 100 m distance from face. In this blast, explosives detonated in a blasting round and explosives weights per delay were 1125 and 75 kg respectively.
- There was no ejection of flyrock in any of the blast. The blasts were initiated with Nonel initiating system and electronic initiation system from the bottom of the hole and experimented blast designs ensured non-ejection of flyrocks.
- All the recorded vibration data were well within the safe limit at the houses/structures concerned. The dominant peak frequencies of ground vibrations were in the range of 11.4 to 129 Hz. FFT analysis of blast vibration frequencies confirmed that concentration of frequencies is in band of 13.3 40.3 Hz. So, the safe level of vibration has been taken as 10 mm/s for the safety of houses/structures of the surrounding villages as per DGMS standard.
- Propagation equation for the prediction of blast vibration has been established and is given as Equation 1. The permissible explosive weight per delay may be computed from the Equation to maintain vibration within safe limit for distances of houses/structures concerned. For convenience, the recommended explosive weight per delay has been computed and is given in Table A3.

- Attempts were made to record the vibration from 50 to 250 m in most of the blasts and accordingly the explosives to be detonated in the delay and total amount of explosives to be fired has been computed and is given in the text in view of future blasting operations at 50 m and beyond.
- The delay interval between the holes in a row should be 17 ms whereas between the rows, it should be 65 ms or more depending upon the number of rows and effective burden. If the numbers of rows are more than two, the delay interval between rows should be increased by 15% in successive rows.
- It is recommended that the existing Nonel initiation system should be continued in the blasting operations. The sub-grade drilling should be 0.3 to 0.5 m for a blasthole depth of 6 to 7 m and should be initiated from the bottom of the hole.
- The recommended blast designs should be followed for day-to-day blasting operations for safe and efficient blasting operations. The blast designs Annexure as Figures A1-A2, will also ensure the safety of the houses/structures, life of human beings and other property in the periphery of the mine.

1. Introduction

The Joint President- Commercial of M/s Prism Cement Limited entrusted CSIR-Central Institute of Mining & Fuel Research (CIMFR), Dhanbad, vide letter no. PCL/LOI/16-17/CIMFR/365 dated 06.12.2016 for a scientific study and advice for optimization of blast design parameters for deep hole blasting at Prism Cement Limestone Mine of M/s Prism Cement Limited, Satna to control ground vibration within safe limits for the safety of structures in the periphery of the mine with improved production and productivity.

The Rock Excavation Engineering (erstwhile Blasting Department) Research team of CSIR-Central Institute of Mining & Fuel Research, Dhanbad carried out field investigations during December 21-26, 2016. Altogether, fifteen blasts were conducted and blast induced ground vibration & air over-pressure/noise were monitored at various locations in the periphery of the Prism Cement Limestone Mine of M/s prism Cement Limited. The monitoring locations were back-side of the blast free face and in the left flank of the blast free face.

2. Location and geology

The Prism Cement Limestone Mine is situated at about 15 km North-East of Satna railway station. The mining lease area lies between longitude 80°57'31" to 80°58'28" East and Latitude 24°36'47" to 24°37'16" North. The limestone deposit of the mine falls in the Bhander series of Upper Vindhyan System and is Upper Vindhyan in age. The general topography of the area is without any remarkable relief and forms a more or less flat terrain with a general dip of approximately 2°- 6° towards South between S10°W and S5°E. The area is completely devoid of any forest and the topographic elevation varies from 312 m (north direction) to 300 m (south direction) above MSL.

The limestone deposit in the mine occurs in two horizontal bands separated by a shaley limestone. The Vindhyan formations are broadly classified into lower calcareous and an upper arenaceous facies. The Bhander limestone varying in thickness from about 5 to 15 m lies as a calcareous horizon in the upper arenaceous rocks. The Bhander Limestone deposit of the area is the dominant rock type and overlain by Sirbu shale (0 - 2 m thick). It is widely jointed with two sets of joints along and across strike. The overview of the Prism Cement Limestone Mine is presented in Photograph 1.



Photograph 1. The overview of Prism Cement Limestone Mine of M/s Prism Cement Limited.

3. Instrumentations

Blast induced vibrations were monitored by seismographs namely MiniMate Plus, MiniMate Blaster and MiniMate DS-077 (Made in Canada by M/s Instantel Inc.). MiniMate plus are eight as well as four channel seismographs provided with two/one tri-axial transducer(s) for monitoring vibration (in mm/s) and two/one channel(s) for monitoring air over-pressure/noise in dB(L). MiniMate Blaster and MiniMate DS-077 are four channel seismographs provided with one tri-axial transducer for monitoring vibration (in mm/s) and one channel for monitoring of air over-pressure/noise in dB(L). All the seismographs record vibration in three directions i.e. Longitudinal (L), Vertical (V) and Transverse (T). They also record principal frequency of vibration and compute the peak vector sum of the vibration.

Explosives and delay detonators must provide the energy and timing for the blasts used under specific blasting conditions. The DataTrapII data/VOD recorder of M/s MREL, Canada is used to document the VOD performance of the explosives and delay time of delay detonators during blasts to compare the actual VOD and delay time results to the published specification.

4. Blasting details

Fifteen blasts including fourteen production blasts and one signature hole blast were conducted on different benches of Prism Cement Limestone Mine. The number of blast holes detonated in production blasting varied from 14 to 84. The diameters of deep blast holes were 115 mm. The depth of blast holes varied from 2.5 to 6 m and the explosives loaded in a hole varied from 2.8 to 35 kg. The explosives weight per delay ranged between 2.8 to 96 kg. Total

explosive weight detonated in a round of production blast varied between 58.4 and 2678 kg. Out of fifteen trial blasts five were conducted using Nonel initiation system and rest 10 were blasted with the help of electronic initiation system. The vibration measuring distances varied from 50 to 250 m. Details of blast design parameters experimented during the period of investigation are given in Annexure as Table A1.

Vibrations were monitored in terms of peak particle velocity (PPV) that varied from 0.73 mm/s to 31.0 mm/s in case of production blast depending upon the distance of measuring transducers of seismographs from the blasting face and the amount of explosives detonated in particular delay of the blast. The recorded levels of air over-pressure ranged from 110.2 - 137.8 dB(L). Recorded blast induced ground vibrations and air over-pressure are presented in Annexure as Table A2.

The blast movement and ejection of rock, if any, were monitored for each blast. There was no ejection of flying fragments. Precaution was taken by using blasting mate on the blastholes. Photograph 2 depicts the charging of the 15 no. RPL bench and use of blasting mate at 7050 RIL blast face to prevent flyrock.



Photograph 2. The charging of the 15 no. RPL bench and use of blasting mate at 7050 RIL blast face to prevent flyrock.

5. Analyses of recorded vibration data

Ground vibrations data recorded were grouped together for statistical analysis. An empirical relationship has been established correlating the maximum explosive weight per delay (Q_{max} in kg), distance of vibration measuring transducers from the blasting face (R in m) and recorded peak particle velocity (v in mm/s). The established equation for the mine is:

$$v = 200.34 * \left(\frac{R}{\sqrt{Q_{\text{max}}}}\right)^{-1.126}$$
 (1)

Correlation co-efficient = 87.8 %

Where,

v = Peak particle velocity (mm/s) R = Distance between vibration monitoring point and blasting face (m) $Q_{max} =$ Maximum explosive weight per delay (kg)

The above equation is site specific and applicable only for Prism Cement Limestone Mine. It may be used to compute the maximum explosive weight to be detonated in a delay for distances of concern from the blasting site. The regression plot of vibration data recorded at their respective scaled distances is presented in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Regression plot of recorded PPV with their respective scaled distances.

5.1 Frequency of blast vibration

The dominant peak frequencies of ground vibrations recorded were in the range of 11.4 - 129 Hz whereas the most common range lies between 13.3 to 40.3 Hz. Most of the vibration measuring stations were on compact ground surfaces. The dominant peak frequency recorded at corresponding monitoring locations is presented in Figure 2. The blast wave signature recorded at Shankkar Ji temple of Hinauti village (Distance - 200 m; PPV – 5.29 mm/s) from the blast conducted at New Pit 01 bench of Prism Cement Limestone Mine is depicted in Figure 3 and its Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) analysis of frequency is shown in Figure 4. The blast wave signature recorded at the house of Shri Umesh Prasad from the blast conducted at 15 No. Goyal face bench is shown in Figure 4. Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) analysis of frequency of the vibration signature is presented in Figure 5. The Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) analysis of frequency vibrations recorded in blasting. The view of blast vibration monitoring in the periphery of the mine are shown in Photographs 3.




Figure 2. Plot of dominant frequency with respect to respective distances.




Figure 3. Blast wave signature recorded at Shankarji temple of Hinauti village from the blast conducted at New Pit 01 blastface of Prism Cement Limestone Mine.




Figure 4. FFT analyses of frequencies of vibration presented in Figure 3.




Figure 4. Blast wave signature recorded on the ground surface and roof of the house of Shri Umesh Prasad from the blast conducted at 15 No. Goyal face bench of Prism Cement Limestone Mine.





Figure 5. FFT analyses of frequencies of vibration presented in Figure 4.



Photograph 3. Monitoring of blast vibration at different locations in the periphery of the Prism Cement Limestone Mine.

5.2 Structural responses to ground vibration and their natural frequencies

The real cause of why people complain about blasting is structural response. All blast vibration complains is due to how much the house shakes, not how much the ground shakes. The ground motion resulting from blast induced waves is transmitted to the structure upside through the foundation, which causes the structure to vibrate. There are three factors of ground vibrations that determine how much structure vibrates. They are ground vibration amplitude, ground vibration duration and ground vibration frequency.

The responses of a few structures in the periphery of the mine was monitored. The recorded natural frequencies of the house of Shri Umesh Prasad was 21.3 Hz. The incoming blast vibration has frequency in the range of natural frequency of the houses and resonance occurred, the resultant amplitude of the vibration in the houses got amplified. The maximum amplification were recorded when incoming blast wave has dominant frequency very close to the natural frequency of the house. The process involved in determination of natural frequency is shown in Figure 6.




Figure 6. Processing of blast wave signature for determination of natural frequency of the house of Shri Umesh Yadav.

6. Existing vibration standard and criteria to prevent damage

Peak particle velocity (PPV) has been globally used in practice for assessment of blast induced damage to the structures. Different countries adopt different standards depending on their type of industrial/residential buildings. In India, presently DGMS technical circular 7 of 1997 is considered as vibration standard for the safety of surface structures in mining areas. The DGMS standard is given in Table 1.

Type of structure	Dominant	excitation free	quency, Hz
-	< 8 Hz	8-25 Hz	> 25 Hz
(A) Buildings/structures not belong to the owner			
1. Domestic houses/structures (Kuchcha, brick & cement)	5	10	15
2. Industrial buildings	10	20	25
3. Objects of historical importance and sensitive structures	2	5	10
(B) Buildings belonging to owner with limited sp	an of life		
1. Domestic houses/structures	10	15	25
2. Industrial buildings	15	25	50

Table 1. DGMS technical circular 7 of 1997 concerning to blast vibration standard in mm/s.

7. Air over-pressure/noise

Air overpressure in the mining or quarrying context is the superposition of a number of impulsive air pressures as a result of the detonation of explosive in the ground. Air overpressure can be measured in pressure unit as well as sound pressure level (SPL).

SPL (dB) = 20 log (p/p₀) Where, p = measured over-pressure in Pascal (pa) $p_0 = reference pressure level of the lowest sound that can be heard, i.e.,$ zero dB = 2 x 10⁻⁵ pa.

United State Bureau of Mines (USBM) has correlated the damage due to air over-pressure. The recommended values are given below:

Over-pressure (dB)	Over-pressure (KPa)	Air Blast Effects
177	14	All windows break
170	6	Most windows break
150	0.63	Some windows break
140	0.20	Some large plate glass windows may break, desk and windows rattle
136	0.13	USBM interim limit for allowable air blast
126	0.05	Complaints likely

The maximum level of air over-pressure recorded was 137.8 dB(L) at 100 m due to blasting at 15 no. Goyal Face bench of Prism Cement Limestone Mine. In this blast 45 blastholes were loaded with 1125 kg of explosives and were fired with the explosives weight per delay of 50 kg. The threshold level of air over pressure/noise is 136 dB(L) as per USBM standard.

8. Flyrocks

Flyrocks are the undesirable ejection of rock particles projected beyond the normal blast area. It is generated when there is insufficient stemming, too much explosive energy for a fixed amount of burden, or poor delay timing pattern, or explosives loaded in voids, mud seams.

The primary means of controlling flyrocks is through proper blast design and optimum delay timing between two detonations. Any pattern which over-confines the explosives or one with insufficient stemming tends to cause material to be thrown up in the air rather than allowing any horizontal movement. None of the blasts ejected flying fragments. The detonation of blast was very ideal and achieved blasting face was without back breaks in most of the time. It is recommended to use blasting mate in sensitive areas for control of flyrock. It was demonstrated and experiment that stemming to burden ratio of 0.7 or more did not cause ejection of flying fragments. Hence, to reduce the generation of boulders from the top portion of the face, stemming length should be 0.7 times of burden.

Recording of in-the-hole Velocity of Detonation (VOD) of explosives

The performance of explosives depends upon a number of parameters and VOD is one of the important parameters. The detonation pressure associated with the reaction zone of detonating explosives is directly proportional to the square of its VOD. It is measured in the C-J plane, behind the detonation front, during propagation through the explosives column. The detonation pressure (P_d) can be estimated by the following formula.

$$P_{d} = \frac{1}{2} \rho_{e} (VOD)^{2} 10^{-6}$$

Where, P_d = Detonation pressure (MPa) ρ_e = Density of explosive (kg/m³) VOD = Velocity of detonation (m/s)

Uniform VOD is essentially required throughout the blast holes in the rock formations in order to produce sufficient detonation pressure to the borehole walls. Required booster is provided in the explosives column to maintain the VOD for the uniform breakage of rock. Inthe-hole continuous velocity of detonation of explosives was recorded with the help of DataTrap II. The recorded in-the-hole VOD of site mixed emulsion (SME) explosives of M/s Indian Explosives Limited (Orica) was in the range of 5286.8 – 5399.7 m/s (Figure 7 & 8).




Figure 7. Trace of in-the-hole VOD of SME explosives of M/s Indian Explosives Limited.




Figure 8. Trace of in-the-hole VOD of SME explosives of M/s Indian Explosives Limited.

10. Blast delay optimisation with the help of signature blast

The optimum blasts have the following objectives.

- Adequate rock fragmentation, swelling and displacement
- Control over the flyrocks and over breaks
- Minimum level of vibration and air blasts

The delay timing between the holes in a row and between rows plays fundamental role in fulfilment of these objectives. To address this issue a blast hole was drilled at 15 No. RPL bench. The blasthole was loaded with 30 kg of explosives and fired instantaneously without in-hole delay. The blast wave signatures were recorded at interval of 50 m at 2 locations. The attenuation characteristics of blast wave were documented. The typical time history of blast wave signature recorded at 50 m from the blast hole is presented in Figure 9. The frequency spectra of the signature blast was analysed. Linear superposition of the waves were done to simulate the waveform characteristics for multi-hole blasting. The analyses revealed that very

short delay times between the holes and very long delay intervals between the rows should be avoided. The analyses further concluded that the mean time needed to start the movement of rock face is 6.4-7.5 ms/m of effective burden. The delay interval between the successive rows should be 13.5-28.5 ms/m of effective burden. The blast designs were optimised considering the out put of linear superimposition techniques. The signature hole analyses table of blast is depicted in Figure 10. The recommended blast designs on the basis of the analyses are given in Annexure.




Figure 9. Time history of the signature blast in Longitudinal direction.

File	Blast Timing		Blast Timing Peak Particle Velocity						PVS Dominant FFT Frequency				lle	per/l ower	Fraguencu	Upper/Lower Frequency Ratio		
[Double Click to view]	Dec	k Hol	e Row yDelay	Trans	Vert	Long	Peak	Peak Vector	Trans	Vert	Long	Peak	Trans	Vert	Long	Peak		
	-		c (msec	(mm/s)	(mm/s)	(mm/s)		Sun ∇	(Hz)	(Hz)	(Hz)	(Hz)	1 Contraction		house			
2D1H16R100.8WP	1	16		19.70	18.40	22.70	22.70	26.50	61.4	61.5	59.3	61.5	0.202	12.000	0.194	12.000		
2D1H16R125.BWP	1	16	125	20.50	18.40	23.40	23.40	26.90	63.6	64.1	24.9	64.1	0.251	11.400	0.239	11,400		
2D1H16R130.8WP	1	16	130	21.00	19.10	23.30	23.30	27.50	61.4	62.3	61.3	62.3	0.065	3.660	0.068	3.660		
R2D1H16R95.BWP	1	16	95	19.80	20.60	24.30	24.30	28.00	63.0	63.3	62.6	63.3	0.061	3.020	0.053	3.020		
201H12R125.BWP	1	12	125	14.90	22.50	20.50	22.50	28.60	32.6	80.1	32.4	80.1	1.110	47.100	0.979	47.100		
R2D1H12R70.BWP	1	12	70	13.00	24.10	20.50	24.10	28.60	2.0	84.8	31.5	84.8	0.247	10.500	0.218	10.500		
2D1H12R120.BWP	1	12	120	13.30	23.10	25.00	25.00	28.80	33.8	83.1	33.3	83.1	0.823	30.600	0.703	30.600		
R2D1H12R75.BWP	1	12	75	12.90	25.70	20.50	25.70	28.80	2.0	80.4	24.1	80.4	1.060	44.900	0.960	44.900		
201H12R115.BWP	1	12	115	14.40	23.30	23.80	23.80	30.50	35.0	78.5	34.4	78.5	3.550	98.100	3.370	98.100		
SR2D1H8R45.BWP	1	8	45	12.80	14.70	30.30	30.30	30.50	2.0	2.9	27.4	27.4	0.020	1.030	0.014	1.030		
R2D1H16R55.BWP	1	16	55	19.50	18.60	26.10	26.10	30.70	58.9	68.5	57.3	68.5	0.129	4.870	0.130	4.870		
R2D1H16R60.BWP	1	16	60	26.60	26.20	22.00	26.60	31.10	64.5	65.1	35.3	65.1	0.198	9.600	0.186	9.600		
2D1H12R105.8WP	1	12	105	13.00	23.40	25.70	25.70	31.50	37.0	85.4	20.1	85.4	0.261	11.500	0.239	11.500		
2D1H12R110.BWP	1	12	110	14.10	23.00	26.20	26.20	31.90	36.1	81.6	35.5	81.6	1.050	43.200	0.948	43.200		
2D1H12R130.8WP	1	12	130	13.30	23.40	26.10	26.10	32.80	37.6	84.3	22.8	84.3	0.247	11.100	0.224	11.100		
2D1H12R65.BWP	1	12	65	20.70	22.50	26.40	26.40	32.90	32.9	78.5	32.3	78.5	0.614	26.900	0.551	26.900		
2D1H16R70.BWP	1	16	70	20.20	18.40	29.10	29.10	33.10	59.4	60.0	27.1	60.0	0.063	4.020	0.056	4.020		
2D1H8R100.8WP	1	8	100	13.90	14.50	31.80	31.80	33.10	30.3	129.0	30.0	129.0	0.024	1.390	0.023	1.390		
2D1H8R105.8WP	1	8	105	15.00	13.90	31.80	31.80	33.10	29.1	124.0	29.1	124.0	0.008	0.602	0.010	0.602		
2D1H9R110.BWP	1	8	110	14.60	14.30	31.90	31.90	33.10	29.0	129.0	28.0	128.0	0.035	2.090	0.031	2.090		
2D1H8R115.8WP	1	8	115	15.30	13.40	31.90	31.90	33.10	34.4	130.0	26.9	130.0	0.133	13,700	0.136	13,700		
201H8R120.8WP	1	8	120	14.40	13.50	31.80	31.80	33.10	32.9	125.0	32.5	125.0	0.029	1.140	0.021	1.140		
201H8R130.8WP	1	8	130	14.20	13.90	31.80	31.80	33.10	30.8	130.0	30.6	130.0	0.007	0.507	0.009	0.507		
R2D1H8R80.BWP	1	8	80	13.90	16.10	31.70	31.70	33.10	35.8	126.0	26.9	126.0	0.022	2.020	0.027	2.020		
R2D1H8R85.8WP	1	8	85	13.90	16.30	31.80	31.80	33.10	34.4	129.0	33.5	129.0	0.140	5.050	0.122	5.050		

Figure 10. Signature hole analysis for the blasthole on 15 no. RPL Site of Prism Cement Limestone Mine.

11. Human response to blasting

The tolerance and reactions of human beings to vibrations are important when standards are based on annoyance, interference, work proficiency and health. Human beings notice and react to blast induced vibrations at levels that are lower than the damage thresholds.

It is impossible to establish a vibration level where nobody will complain. There are always some persons in a population who will complain no matter how small the disturbance is. Several researchers recognized that the duration of the vibration was critical. Most evident was that a higher level could be tolerated if the event was of short duration. Consequently, steady state vibration data could not be realistically applied to blasting except for events that exceed several seconds duration.

12. Results and discussions

The maximum vibration recorded from the production blasts in terms of peak particle velocity (PPV) was 31.0 mm/s at 50 m on the ground surface behind the blasting face. The associated dominant peak frequency was 32.0 Hz. This magnitude of vibration was due to detonation of 710 kg of explosives in 28 holes drilled in three rows and fired with maximum charge weight per delay of 50 kg. The PPV recorded at 100 m from the same blast was 6.66 mm/s with dominant peak frequency of 15.0 Hz. Fast attenuation of ground vibration is recorded.

The vibrations recorded in the periphery of the mine were of low amplitude and short duration. The persistence of vibration was in most of the cases less than 1 second. A few recorded blast waveforms at different locations are given in the Annexure which indicates low amplitude and short duration blast events. The existing practice of blasting will not cause any damage to the houses and structures in the periphery of the mine.

The signature hole blast was conducted and ground vibration was recorded at a distance of 50 and 100 m. The ground vibration recorded at 50 m was 33.9 mm/s with dominant peak frequency of 30.3 Hz. The signature hole was of 5 m and charged with the 30 kg of explosive. Ground vibration recorded at 100 m was 22.1 mm/s with dominant frequency of 45.5 Hz. The analyses revealed that very short delay times between the holes and very long delay intervals between the rows should be avoided. The analyses further concluded that the mean time needed to start the movement of rock face is 6.4-7.5 ms/m of effective burden. The delay interval between the successive rows should be 13.5-28.5 ms/m of effective burden.

The dominant peak frequencies of vibrations recorded were in the range of 11.4 to 129 Hz. The FFT analyses of frequency of vibration revealed that the concentration of vibration energy is in the range of 13.3-40.3 Hz. Based on DGMS circular; the safe limit of vibration (PPV) for the houses of surrounding villages is thus, 10 mm/s. The maximum explosives to be fired in a delay for safety of residential houses at various distances from the blasting site

may be computed from the Equation 1. For ready references, the maximum permissible explosive weight per delay to be detonated in blast round has been computed and is Annexured as Table A3. The predicated PPV levels at various distances by detonation of explosives weight per delay of 10, 20, 30 and 50 kg are presented in Table A4.

The maximum air over-pressure recorded was 137.8 dB(L) at 100 m due to the blast conducted at 15 No. Goyal Face on 26.12.2016 by detonation of 1125 kg of explosives in 45 holes. The blasts initiated with Nonel initiation system and Unitronic electronic initiation system generate significantly lower level of air over-pressure compared to detonating fuse initiation system. There was no ejection of flyrock in any of the blasts.

The recorded vibration and air over-pressure data and subsequent analyses revealed that blasting might be performed at 50 m from the nearest house of the village with explosives weight per delay of 12.2 kg. The blast designs have been recommended for blasting operations to be conducted at 50 m and beyond from the nearest house of the concern villages or other structures. The recommended blast designs are given as Figures A1-A2. The recommended explosive weights per delay for various distances of the concern up to 300 m are computed and are presented in Table A3. The predicted peak particle velocities levels for detonation of various charge weight per delay are given in Table A4.

There were no ejections of flyrocks in any of the blast. The experimented blast designs ensured that there were no any ejections of flyrocks, although for more safety, blasting mates with sand bags were used for controlling the flyrocks.

13. Conclusions and recommendations

- Maximum vibration recorded from the production blast was 31.0 mm/s with associated dominant peak frequency of 32.0 Hz at 50 m from blasting site. The explosives weight per delay was 50.8 kg. The PPV recorded at 100 m from the same blast was 6.66 mm/s with dominant peak frequency of 15.0 Hz. Fast attenuation of vibration were encountered.
- The maximum air over-pressure recorded was 137.8 dB(L) at 100 m due to the blast conducted at 15 No. Goyal Face on 26.12.2016. In this blast, explosives detonated in a blasting round and explosives weight per delay were 1125 kg and 75 kg respectively. The Electronic initiation system and Nonel initiation system reduces the air over-pressure to a greater extent and improves the blasting performance too. There was no ejection of flyrocks in any of the blast.
- All the recorded data (blast vibrations, air overpressures and flyrocks) were well within the safe limit at the houses/structures concerned. The dominant peak frequencies of ground vibrations were in the range of 11.4 to 129 Hz. FFT analysis of blast vibration frequencies confirmed that concentration of frequencies is in band of 13.3-40.3 Hz. So, the safe level of vibration has been taken as 10 mm/s for the safety of houses/structures of the surrounding villages as per DGMS standard.

- Propagation equation for the prediction of blast vibration has been established and is given as Equation 1. The permissible explosive weight per delay may be computed from the Equation to contain vibration within safe limits for distances of houses/structures concerned. For convenience, the recommended explosives weight per delay has been computed and is given in Table A3.
- The delay interval between the holes in a row should be 17 ms whereas between the rows, it should be 65 ms or more depending upon the number of rows and effective burden. If the numbers of rows are more than two, the delay interval between rows should be increased by 15% in successive rows.
- It is recommended that the existing Nonel initiation system should be continued in the blasting operations and Electronic initiation systems should be practiced on the benches near to the structures for more precise and accurate delay design. The sub-grade drilling should be 0.3 to 0.5 m for a blasthole depth of 6 to 7 m and should be initiated from the bottom of the hole.
- It is advisable to use blasting mate with sand bags in sensitive area to ensure any nonejection of flyrocks. For this Nonel as well as electronic system may be used as an initiation system.
- The recommended blast designs should be followed for day-to-day blasting operations for safe and efficient blasting operations. The blast designs given in Annexure as Figures A1-A2, will ensure the safety of the houses/structures, life of human beings and other property in the periphery of the mine.

Acknowledgements

The research team is thankful to M/s Prism Cement Limited for sponsoring the study. The sincere co-operation and help extended to the team by the following officials in completing the study successfully are thankfully acknowledged.

Shri S. K. Sinha,	Vice President
Shri Sanjay Singh Baghel,	Manager (Mines)
Shri Chandrakand pandey,	Asst. Manager
Shri Binod Giri,	Asst. Manager
Shri A. K. Baghel,	Blasting Foreman
Shri S. Singh,	Field Surveyor

The research team also expresses their gratitude to the inhabitants of Hinauti and Sijhata villages for their co-operation in blast vibration and air overpressure monitoring.

a) 🛠 Unitronic	420	06-07	2.2 - 2.2	0.0.0				Hinauti		
	explosives of M/s IEPL Orica) Vinitronic	20 20	22.25	V C×C	2 5-6	115	14	New Pit 01	23.12.16	7.
No ejection of flyrock.	(Booster Primex and SME									
Chocked face	1670	25	3-3.5	3×4	5-6	115	66	20 NO. PIT	23.12.10	9.
 The ejection of hydrock. Initronic (Orica) 					E.			DO NE DE	21 11 20	٢
No election of firmout										
explosives of M/s IEPI. Orica) & Evcellent Engineeric	explosives of M/s IEPL Oric									
	(Booster Primex and SMF							Site		
Very mod movement tours	830	20-25	2.8 - 3	3×3.5	4-5	C11	ŢĊ	ICTO. ML		
VOD was measured.				2	2	112	21	15 No RDI	23.12.16	5.
Unitronic (Orica).										
ca) 🛠 No fly rock ejection.	explosives of M/s IEPL Orica) & No fly rock ejection.									
free face.	(booster Frimex and SME									
*	30	υc	2.1	- 3 m	(VERNER	Site		
ca) * Unitronic (Orica)	explosives of M/s IEPL Orica) Unitronic (Orica)	70	7 7	Rurden	r	115	01	15 No. RPL	23.12.16	4.
E ★ Good fragmentation	(Booster Primex and SME									
	440	77	ų					Goyal Fcae		
Good fragmentation		د د		3 2 X E	4.5	115	20	15 No.	22.12.16	3.
DTH - 450 ms)	Solar Prime Booster)									
✤ Nonel (TLD – 17 ms, 42 ms,	(Solargel Cartridge &									
No ejection of flyrock	1037	5.00	1.0	2000	(Face		
No ejection of flyrock.		200	16	3 5×5	6	115	34	7050 RIL	21.12.16	2.
Boulder formation was there.										
prevent fly rock ejection.	Solar Prime Booster)									
blasting mate placement to	(Solargel Cartridge &									
Precaution was taken with	Col Col	<i>u.u</i>	t					Goyal Face		
•	1/2	72	2	3×3.5	ω	115	30	15 No.	21.12.16	
		[kg]	B	[m]	m	[mm]			11 11	-
	[0.5]	Per hole	ing	Spacing			holes			
Remarks	I viai explosive weight	explosive	Stemm-	×	depth	dia.	of	Blast	Blast	No.
	Total availation With the	Ave	Ton	Burden	Hole	Hole.	No.	Location of	Date OI	

Table A1. Summary of blast performed during the period of study at Prism Cement Limestone mine, Prism Cement Limited, Satna (M.P.).

17

15.	n 14	14 13.	12.	5 F.	10.	9.	.8
20.12.16	20.12.16	26.12.16	25.12.16	24.12.10	24.12.16	24.12.16	24.12.16
IS NO. Goyal Face	IS No. Goyal Face	15 No. Goyal Face	13 No. RPL	Goyal Face	15 No. Goyal Face	15 No. Goyal Face	15 No. RPL Site
45	21	28	84	30	21	20	40
115	115	115	115	115	115	115	115
6	ω	ر ب	6	3.5- 4.5	2.5	5.5	6
2.5×3	3×4	3×4	3×3.5	3×3.5	3×3.5	3×3.5	3×3.5
2.4	2.6	ເມ	3.5	2.5 - 3	1.7	3.6	3.5
25	2.78	25	32	14.7 - 20	5.4	22	35
1125 (Solargel Cartridge & Solar Prime Booster)	58.4 (Solar Prime Booster)	710 * No ejectic (Booster Primex and SME * Good frag explosives of M/s IEPL Orica) * Unitronic	2678	603 (Booster Primex and SME explosives of M/s IEPL Orica) Solution of flyroce Good fragmentation Nonel (TLD – 17 ms)	113 (Solargel Cartridge & Solar Prime Booster)	(Booster Primex and SME Solution (Booster Primex and SME Good frag explosives of M/s IEPL Orica) & Unitronic	explosives of M/s IEPL Orica) No ejection of flyrock. 1405 VOD Measurement. (Booster Primex and SME No ejection of flyrock. explosives of M/s IEPL Orica) Initronic Initronic
 No ejection of flyrock Excellent fragmentation Nonel (TLD – 17 ms, 42 ms, DTH – 450 ms) 	 No ejection of flyrock Nonel (TLD – 17 ms, 42 ms, DTH – 450 ms) 	 No ejection of flyrock Good fragmentation Unitronic 	 No ejection of flyrock Good fragmentation Unitronic 	 Chocked face No ejection of flyrock Good fragmentation Nonel (TLD – 17 ms, 42 ms, DTH – 450 ms) 	 No ejection of flyrock Good fragmentation Unitronic 	 No ejection of flyrock. Good fragmentation Unirronic 	 No ejection of flyrock. VOD Measurement. No ejection of flyrock. Unirropic

Z	Ę	I Utal	INTERVIEW	Location of measuring	Distance of	Peck	Dominant	Air over-
INO.	DIASI	Explosives	Explosives	instruments	measuring	particle		pressure/noise
		uetonated in	weight per	X	point from	velocity	fre	
		ULL I	delay		blasting face	(PPV)		
-	15 No Count	ISN	ING		[m]	[mm/s]		[dB (L)]
:	LU INU. UUYAI	COL	- 11 	> Back Side From Blast Face	50	22.7	201	130
	Face		(2×5.5)	Back Side From Blast Face	100	5.54		1225
				Back Side From Blast Face	150	2.35		1223
,				Back Side From Blast Face	200	1.88		101 5
2.	7050 RIL	1037	19	➤ Back Side From Blast Face	50	187		100 0
	Face		(2×30.5)	Back Side From Blast Face	100	12.0		127.0
				Back Side From Blast Face	501	10.0		123.3
				➢ Back Side From Blast Face	150	4 95		121.2
2				Back Side From Blast Face	200	4.33		1213
з.	ID INO. GOYAL	440	22	➢ Back Side From Blast Face	50	21.0		136.1
	Fcae			Back Side From Blast Face	100	6.75		119.8
				Back Side From Blast Face	150	3.88		118.8
				Back Side From Blast Face	200	2.63		112.6
~	IS No DAT	20	2	Back Side From Blast Face	250	2.40		116.9
÷	10 NO. NEL	UC	30	Back Side From Blast Face	50	33.9		127.8
~		010		Back Side From Blast Face	100	22.1		125.8
J.	10 NO. RFL	058	50	Back Side From Blast Face	50	22.1		125.8
	olle		(2×25)	Back Side From Blast Face	100	7.78		122.9
				Back Side From Blast Face	150	3.49		115.7
~				Back Side From Blast Face	200	2.55		115.9
0.	20 NO. PIT	16/0	75	Back Side From Blast Face	50	30.4		131.5
			(3×25)	➤ Back Side From Blast Face	100	27.1	21.6	122.2
				Back Side From Blast Face	150	25.6		122.6
				Back Side From Blast Face	200	5 24		110 1

Table A2. Blast induced vibration monitored at different location in and around Prism Cement Limestone mine, Prism Cement Limited, (M.P.)

			1	11				12.	5				11.	-	10.	10			9.	>				ð.	0				1.
		T acc	Eaco	15 No Count				13 NO. KPL	ICNI DRY			Face	15 No. Goyal	race	10 NO. GOYAI			Face	15 No. Goyal				Sile	ID NO. KPL	12 11 12 12			HINAUU	New Pit 01.
			017	710				26/8	2				603		113				440					1405					420
		(C7×7)	00	3			(ئ×ئا.6)	20 95	6			(2×22)	44		21				20				(2×35)	70					30
➤ Right Side From Blast Face	➤ Right Side From Blast Face	> Lett Side From Blast Face	> Left Side From Blast Face	► Back Side From Blast Face	Back Side From Blast Face	➢ Back Side From Blast Face	➤ Back Side From Blast Face	Back Side From Blast Face	Back Side From Blast Face	Structure height (roof-3m)	House of Sri Umesh Prasad	Back Side From Blast Face	Left Side From Blast Face	Back Side From Blast Face	➢ Right Side From Blast Face	Back Side From Blast Face	Back Side From Blast Face	Right Side From Blast Face	Back Side From Blast Face	➢ Back Side From Blast Face	Left Side From Blast Face	Back Side From Blast Face	Back Side From Blast Face	Left Side From Blast Face	(village Shankarji temple)	➤ Left Side From Blast Face	Left Side From Blast Face	➢ Back Side From Blast Face	➢ Left Side From Blast Face
140	110	100	50	250	200	150	100	50	200	150	150	100	50	200	150	200	150	100	100	150	100	100	60	50	200		100	75	50
3.59	3.84	6.66	31.0	4.56	5.03	10.7	16.3	17.0	5.65	15.1	6.35	8.10	17.1	1.08	2.83	4.07	5.62	6.14	12.5	6.89	8.77	11.3	17.5	24.4	5.29		5.24	10.7	20.4
27.1	27.5	15	32	18.6	18.0	129	58.5	129	17.5	21.3	16.8	18	24.5	28.8	24.6	11.4	36.8	35.6	27.4	15.9	17.8	15.9	30.4	52.9	18.5		22	18.5	22.5
123.6	126.8	123.9	130.1	123.5	123.1	121.8	121.9	131	126.5		128.9	130.6	131.4	110.2	125	116.3	120	133.4	122.3	128	123.9	127.8	128.8	127.8	122.6		134.8	132.5	135.1

3	2			14.
Face	15 No Goual		1 acc	ID No. Goyal
C711	2011			58.38
(3×25)	76			2.78
 Kight Side From Blast Face Right Side From Blast Face Right Side From Blast Face 	Front Side From Blast Face	Front Side From Blast Face	Back Side From Blast Face	> Back Side From Blast Face
100 120 130	200	140	100	70
11.6 11.0 9.0	0.73	1.84	2.71	2.83
34.8 69.1 22.8	21.3	28.8	20.6	21.8
137.8 132.7 132.2	116.4	119.3	116.1	121.6

Table A3. Recommended explosives weight per delay to be detonated in a blasting round for the safety of houses/structures taking 10 mm/s (for the houses/structures not belonging to the Owner) and 15 mm/s (for the houses/structures belonging to the Owner) as safe limit of peak particle velocity for Prism Cement Limestone mine, Prism Cement Limited, Satna, (M. P).

Distance of structures from the blast face [m]	detonated	sive weight to be in a delay g]
	10 mm/s	15 mm/s
50	12	19
75	27	42
100	49	75
125	76	118
150	110	170
175	149	231
200	195	302
225	247	382
250	305	471
275	369	570
300	439	678

Table A4. Predicted peak particle velocity level at various distance due to detonation of explosive weight per delay of 10, 20, 30 & 50 kg at Prism Cement Limestone mine, Prism Cement Limited, Satna, (M.P).

Distance of structures from the blast face	Predi		ticle velocity m/s]	levels
[m]	10 kg	20 kg	30 kg	50 kg
50	9.9	13.2	16.6	22.1
75	6.3	8.4	10.5	14.0
100	4.6	6.1	7.6	10.1
125	3.5	4.7	5.9	7.9
150	2.9	3.8	4.8	6.4
175	2.4	3.2	4.1	5.4
200	2.1	2.8	3.5	4.6
225	1.8	2.4	3.1	4.1
250	1.6	2.2	2.7	3.6
275	1.5	1.9	2.4	3.2
300	1.3	1.8	2.2	2.9





Figure A1. Recommended blast design and charging pattern of holes for 4 m benches of Prism Cement Limestone mine when blasting is to be conducted at or beyond 50m.











Figure A2. Recommended blast design and charging pattern of holes for 6.0 m benches of Prism Cement Limestone mine when blasting is to be conducted at or beyond 100 m.
















































































ANNEXURE - 9

MIN/0701/990628 03.02.2000

The Joint Director(S) Ministry Of Environment & Forests (MOEF), Regional Office, Western Region E-3/240 Arera Colony, Bhopal-462016(M.P)

Dear Sir,

Sub: Compliance Report - Sijhata-Hinouti-Limestone Mine of M/s. Prism Cement Ltd.

Ref: Letter - No. 11015/37/96/1A II(M) dated 20/12/99 of MOEF, New Delhi Your office letter no. 3-1/97(Env)/1359 dated 5/7/99.

We kindly acknowledge the above mentioned letters. We regret very much for not sending the compliance reports in time. We assure you, sir, we will be sending the same in time in future.

We hereby mention our clarifications pointiwise as raised by you:

The garland drains have been done all around the dumping sites, which restrict erosion the settling of silt around the faces.

a) Monitoring of Quality of Effluent:

In mines there is no generation of any effluent water. However the Sewage Water generated from the residential colony (combined for plant & mines) is being treated in colony premises and is being monitored regularly as per guidelines of MPPCB.

The rainwater accumulated in the lower benches of the working areas, is being pumped out and carried through pipeline to the reservoirs (settling tanks). The reservoir is in two blocks having cumulative water holding capacities of about 1.5 lakhs cub. mtrs. We find water in the reservoir till end of January or max 2nd week of February.

This water is being used sometimes for plantation and dust suppression on the hauling roads.

(b) Monitoring of RPM:

The monitoring of RPM is presently is not being monitored, as there is no norms mentioned in the MPPCB consent letter. At present we are regularly monitoring SPM, SO_2 , NOx in Mines. RPM is not being monitored as on date. "If you feel it is required we will arrange to carry out the same. We request for your guidelines for RPM monitoring.

....2/-

c) Submission of Analysis Report in respect of Noise pollution:

We have submitted a copy of the comprehensive, EIA and EMP (Post commissioning) for the area, vide our letter no. MIN0703/990369 dated 15/9/99, in person, which is duly acknowledged by your Regional Office, Bhopal on 16/9/99.

However, we are furnishing copies of the same for your ready reference and records.

d) Submission of analysis - report on the monitoring data:

e)

We are furnishing here with the monthly Ambient Air Quality Monitoring reports till date.

Construction of settling tanks and toe-drains leading to it for arresting siltation of surface water.

We do not have an open drainage system. The pumped out water is being carried through pipelines and released in the reservoir. The reservoirs consist of two blocks of a cumulative water holding capacity of 1.5 lakhs cub. Mtrs. The water is not discharged from reservoir. Hence all the silt will be deposited within the reservoir.

f) Submission of annual action plan for socio economic development:

We are herewith furnishing a note on the various social (welfare) economic measures carried out by Prism cement. We have enclosed herewith the Socio Economic Action Plan for your kind perusal.

g) Establishment of Environment Management Cell:

We have already established Environmental Management Cell, members of which are as below :

Mr. M.P. Rai	<u></u>	Vice President (Works)
Mr. U.K. Das	-	Sr. Jt. General Manager (Mines)
Mr. A.K. Shrivastava	-	Asst. Gen. Manager
Mr. V.V. Kulkarni	-	Manager (Geology).
Mr. D.K. Singh	-	Asst. Manager (Pollution Control)
Mr. S.P. Singh	-	Horticulturist.

...3/-

:: 2 ::

h) Regular submission of reports for every 6 months about environmental compliance to Regional office:

We regret for not sending regularly the reports as mentioned above. The same will be complied in future.

:: 3 ::

Hope all these points are in order and we assure you that to the best of our efforts, we shall continue to comply with various provisions of the Act.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully, For PRISM CEMENT LIMITED 00 eres. U.K. DAS

Sr. Jt. General Manager (Mines)

Encl: as above.

CC: Additional Director, MOEF – For necessary information and records. CGO Complex, Lodhi Road New Delhi – 110 003

PS: We have complied all the points referred in your letter dated 20.12.99 and sent all the relevant details to Regional office, Bhopal

03.02.2000

SOCIO- ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ACTION PLAN (WORKSHEET)

S.No	> Particular	Details	Ame	ount
1.	Village road repair – leading Eastern Block		Rs	. 200
2.	Soil filling and levelling at Sijhata school (29,1,99)	150 soil trips x 3 = 450 cu. Mtr x Rs.45	Rs.	20250
3.	Soil filling at Hinouti Mandir 24.3.99	50 trips = 50 x 3 = 150 cu.mtr. x Rs.45	Rs.	6750
4.	Soil filling at Sijahata village – Road side (3/3/999)	50 trips = 50 x 3 =150 cu.mtr. x Rs. 45	Rs.	6750
5.	Soil filling at Ramvan for Basanth Panchami (Jan- 2000)	50 trips =50x3 = 150 cu. Mtr x Rs. 45	Rs.	6750
б.	Hinouti village road bridge,near village for water management (culvert built)		Rs.	25000
7.	Village road leading to Pithepur (Magazine) (99-2000)		Rs.	150000
8.	Soil supplied to Rampur – (Police Station)			
9.	Jailor Rampur			
10.	Hinouti Road – From Baghicha to Hinouti village	Rs. 80000 labour wages + Rs. 100000 material cost.	Rs.	180000
11.	Drains in village for proper water management in the Patel Tola of Hinouti village habitation.		Rs.	50000
12.	Other roads leading to Hinouti village	250 labour x Rs. 70 = 17500 + 2000 trips material x 3 = 6000 cu.mtr = Rs. 270000	Rs.	287500
3.	1300- 1400 trips of soil will be given to the villagers during 2000-2001	1350 x 3 = 4050 cu.mtr. x Rs. 45	Rs.	200000
	Medical facilities		· · · <u>-</u>	
	250 patients x Rs. 7 x Rs. 12		Rs.	21000
	Mobile clinic treatment in villages @ Rs. 60/- per patient (inclusive of van charges)	15000 x 12	Rs.	180000

ANA SALANCE TO SALANCE

Annexure -3

SI.No	Particulars	Incurred
		2000-2001
		2000-2001
1	General Development of Villages -	200000
	for 4 villages namely Hinouti, Sijhata,	
	Mankahari & Bamhori @ Rs. 50000/- each	
	per annum to vill. Panchayats	1
.2	Welfare to needy villagers - exgratia	300000
3	Repairs incurred on village roads within	320000
	5 km range villages viz. Hinouti,Sijhata,	
	mankahari,Bamhouri,Rampur etc.	
4	Soil filling & levelling the school and	· · ·
	panchayat buildings areas & playground.	
	Sijahata School area and approach road	241989
	in Hinouti village, Mankahari village, Ramvan, etc.	
5	Medical facilities:	
	(i) Patients being treated at medical centre	
	on an average about 250/ month or 1500/ annum.	696000
	(ii)Patients being treated at villages through mobile	21000
	clinic on an average about 21 per day	
6	Contribution to sports activities	15000
	Total Rs.In Lakhs	<u>1793989</u> 17.93

THOM DE AN

Prism cement is giving preference to the local villagers and land sellers suitable employment based on their qualification and capabilities.

In addition to the employment, indirect employment is also generated/ provided, like deploying trucks, tippers, oil tankers, compressors etc. purchased by local villagers have been hired for Internal transportation of materials.

Also employment is provided for the development of horticulature and green belt.

No. of persons employed (workers category) during 1998-99 - 592. (Including plant)

U.K.Das

Same.

Gen.Manager (Mines)

ECOMEN LABORATORIES PVT. LTD.



Second Floor Hall, House No. B-1/8, Sector-H, Aliganj, Lucknow - 226 024 Phone No. : 0522 - 4079201/2746282

E-mail: contactus@ecomen.in, Website: www.ecomen.in, CIN - U74210UP1989PTC010601,GSTIN : 09AAACE6076H1ZI

An approved Laboratory from Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Govt. of India, New Delhi

FORMAT NO. ECO/QS/FORMAT/07 TEST REPORT NO:ECO LAB/WW/1525/12/21 TEST REPORT ISSUE DATE: 13.01.2022

TEST REPORT OF WASTE WATER*

Name of the Company Address of the Company	 : M/s. Prism Johnson Ltd. : Village Mankahari, Tehsil Rampur Baghelan Distt.Satna (M.P.)
Sampling Method	: APHA/ IS: 3025
Sample Collected by	: Mr. Anish Singh
Sample Quantity	: As per requirement.
Date of Sampling	: 29.12.2021
Date of Receiving	: 03.01.2022
Date of Analysis	: 03.01.2022 to 07.01.2022
Source of Sample	: STP Inlet
Sample ID Code	: ELW-15304

SI. No.	TESTS	PROTOCOL	RESULT	Limits of Detection
1	рН	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 4500H+ A+B	6.76	2-12
2	Total Suspended Solids(mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 2540-D	158.0	5.0-1000
3	Oil & Grease as O & G (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 5520 A+B+D	BDL	5.0-600
4	Biochemical Oxygen Demand as BOD (mg/l) 3days at 27°C	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 5210 A+B	42.5	5-10000
5	Chemical Oxygen Demand as COD (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 5220 A+C	160.0	5-50000

*The result are related only to item tested. BDL = Below Detection Limit

Verified By

Technical Manager

--End of the Report--

Authorized By

Quality Manager

Ecomen Laboratories Pvt. Ltd. Second Floor Hall, House No. 8-1/8, Sector-H_Aliganj, Lucknow-226024





Second Floor Hall, House No. B-1/8, Sector-H, Aliganj, Lucknow - 226 024 Phone No. : 0522 - 4079201/2746282

E-mail: contactus@ecomen.in, Website: www.ecomen.in, CIN - U74210UP1989PTC010601,GSTIN : 09AAACE6076H1ZI

An approved Laboratory from Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Govt. of India, New Delhi

FORMAT NO. ECO/QS/FORMAT/07 TEST REPORT NO:ECO LAB/WW/1525/12/21 TEST REPORT ISSUE DATE: 13.01.2022

TEST REPORT OF WASTE WATER*

Name of the Company Address of the Company	 M/s. Prism Johnson Ltd. Village Mankahari, Tehsil Rampur Baghelan Distt.Satna (M.P.)
Sampling Method	: APHA/ IS: 302.5
Sample Collected by	: Mr. Anish Singh
Sample Quantity	: As per requirement.
Date of Sampling	: 29.12.2021
Date of Receiving	: 03.01.2022
Date of Analysis	: 03.01.2022 to 07.01.2022
Source of Sample	: STP Outlet
Sample ID Code	: ELW-15305

Sl. No.	TESTS	PROTOCOL	RESULT	Limits of Detection	G.S.R 1265 (E)
1	pН	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 4500H+ A+B	6.87	2-12	6.5-9.0
2	Total Suspended Solids (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 2540-D	20.54	5.0-1000	<100.0
3	Oil & Grease as O & G (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 5520 A+B+D	BDL	5.0-600	-
4	Biochemical Oxygen Demand as BOD (mg/l) 3days at 27°C	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 5210 A+B	6.0	5-10000	30.0
5	Chemical Oxygen Demand as COD (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 5220 A+C	36.0	5-50000	-
6.	Fecal Coliform (MPN/100 ml)	APHA, 23 ^{rJ} Ed. 2017, 9221 A + E	156.0	-	<1000

*The result are related only to item tested. BDL = Below Detection Limit

Verified By

Authorized By

Quality Manager

Ecornen Laboratories Pvt. Un Second Floor Hall, House No. 6-1/6. Sector-H_Aliganj, Lucknow-226924

Technical Manager

--End of the Report--



Phone No.: 0522 - 4079201/2746282 E-mail: contactus@ecomen.in, Website: www.ecomen.in, CIN - U74210UP1989PTC010601,GSTIN : 09AAACE6076H1ZI

An approved Laboratory from Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Govt. of India, New Delhi

FORMAT NO. ECO/QS/FORMAT/07

TEST REPORT NO:ECO LAB/WW/1525/12/21 TEST REPORT ISSUE DATE: 13.01.2022

TEST REPORT OF WASTE WATER*

Name of the Company	: M/s. Prism Johnson Ltd.
Address of the Company	: Village Mankahari,
	Tehsil Rampur Baghelan
	Distt.Satna (M.P.)
Sampling Method	: APHA/ IS: 3025
Sample Collected by	: Mr. Anish Singh
Sample Quantity	: As per requirement.
Date of Sampling	: 29.12.2021
Date of Receiving	: 03.01.2022
Date of Analysis	: 03.01.2022 to 07.01.2022
Source of Sample	: Mine Workshop after separate Treated Water
Sample ID Code	: ELW-15306

SI. No.	TESTS	PROTOCOL	RESULT	Limits of Detection	G.S.R 1265 (E)
1	рН	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 4500H+ A+B	7.51	2-12	6.5-9.0
2	Total Suspended Solid as TSS (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 2540-D	23.5	5.0-1000	<100.0
3	Oil & Grease as O & G (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 5520 A+B+D	BDL	5.0-600	-
4	Biochemical Oxygen Demand as BOD (mg/l) 3days at 27°C	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 5210 A+B	7.5	5-10000	30.0
5	Chemical Oxygen Demand as COD (mg/l)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017, 5220 A+C	60.0	5-50000	-
6.	Fecal Coliform (MPN/100 ml)	APHA, 23 rd Ed. 2017,9221 A + E	Absent	-	<1000

*The result are related only to item tested. BDL = Below Detection Limit

Verified By

Authorized By

Quality Manager Ecomen Laboratories Pvt Second Floor Hall, House No. 6 Sector-H. Aliganj, Lucknow-22602

Technical Manager

--End of the Report--

